

DEPARTMENT OF DISABILITY AND AGING SERVICES  
COMMISSION (DAS)  
MINUTES JUNE 2, 2021

CALL TO ORDER AND WELCOME/President Knutzen

Commissioner Martha Knutzen called the meeting to order.

The (DAS) Commission Secretary called the roll:

Present: Martha Knutzen, Sascha Bittner, Wanda Jung, Michelle Carrington, Nelson Lum

DAS Executive Director, Kelly Dearman, was present.

Communications:

Commission Secretary, Bridget Badasow, provided instructions for any members of the public that would like to submit a public comment to the DAS Commission.

Approval of Minutes:

No public comment.

A motion to approve the June 2, 2021 DAS Commission Meeting Minutes.

The motion was unanimously approved.

Absent: Commissioner Barbara Sklar, Vice President Janet Y. Spears

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR REPORT/Kelly Dearman

DAS Executive Director Kelly Dearman's report addressed the initiatives at the federal, state, and local levels.

President Biden supports the independence at home for those who are aging and living with disabilities. The Administration for Community Living received program level request of 3.1 billion dollars, 32% more than in fiscal year 2021. Majority of additional funds are directed to the Older Americans Act Programs and Services. Plans for funds:

- Expand access to long term care services under Medi-Cal
- Extends money follows the person
- Investment in the direct-care work force by providing funding to expand the caregiver workforce
- Offer higher wages and benefits (unsure of impact in SF because wages are higher than most)
- Improve training and support

At this point, we look to Congress to see what they will do with these plans.

Other federal-level highlights:

- US Department of Health and Human Services announced Office for Civil Rights will interpret and enforce the Healthcare Rights Laws and Title IX prohibition to include discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity.
- Improvements to the Emergency Rental Assistance Program: help deliver Emergency Rental Assistance to the lowest income and most marginalized renters before the eviction moratorium expires

State-level May Revise highlights impacting DAS:

- Medi-Cal expansion, including access to IHSS for undocumented individuals aged 60+
- \$2 million to continue expansion of CalFresh efforts to older adults
- Master Plan on Aging investments include \$3 million to CDA to improve internal staffing policy, data capacity to facilitate Master Plan implementation
- \$106 million over 3 years for counties to address the negative impacts of isolation and health impacts of Covid on older adults, which can be used for a variety of AAA-funded programs such as caregiver support, legal aid, elder abuse prevention, and nutrition
- Eliminating suspensions to senior nutrition and ADRC funding
- \$100 million of Home Safe Funding to APS each fiscal year for the next 2 years
- IHSS continues current favorable state of 65% county and 35% cost-share ratios
- Eliminates 7% cut to hours for clients
- One-time \$2 million funding for counties to use for career pathways for IHSS providers
- One-time funds for Veteran supportive services through the HUD-VASH Housing Program

Other items not specific to DAS:

- Over \$1 billion for Covid response: testing, vaccination, contact tracing, outreach
- Homelessness and Housing:
  - Project HomeKey to receive \$2.75 billion over 2 years, building on Project RoomKey by providing money to public entities to purchase and rehabilitate housing
  - Project RoomKey to receive \$150 million of one-time funding for temporary non-congregate shelter
  - Care facility expansion includes \$500 million to the Department of Social Services for competitive grants to buy/upgrade adult and senior care facilities for individuals who are homeless or at risk for homelessness

Local-level - Mayor's Budget Proposal:

- Projected \$653 million shortfall balanced by relief from the American Rescue Plan to eliminate need for deep cuts allowing for the city to focus on Covid recovery, the impacts of the pandemic, and ensure financial resiliency
- Emphasized efforts include:
  - Food Security
  - Shelter

- Vaccine Distribution
- Testing
- Winding down emergency operations
- Investments in small businesses and workforce
- Safer, cleaner streets
- Big money investments in homelessness and behavioral health needs for the unhoused

**DAS:**

- In recognition of World Elder Abuse Awareness Day and California’s Elder Abuse Awareness Month DAS will launch Solidarity SF in partnership with the Institute on Aging, Asian Pacific Islander Legal Outreach, and District Attorney’s Victims Services Division as a response to the high levels of violence and hate that AAPI older people have experienced throughout the pandemic
  - The campaign will:
    - Create a network of safe spaces in the community that can be accessed as a temporary source of shelter for AAPI individuals on the street and may be experiencing harassment or feel unsafe
    - Will enlist merchants and other community places such as libraries to be part of a network of establishments that can serve as a place of support for temporary shelter, identified by a sticker in their window
    - Will be piloted in the Sunset District in collaboration with the Outer Sunset Merchants Professional Association with the goal of expanding city-wide
- Working on creating a website for Solidarity SF that will include a map of the partnering business and community spaces, updated continuously so community can see which establishments they can depend on for support
- On June 15<sup>th</sup> at 10 am a virtual press conference will be held in collaboration with the campaign’s coalition of agencies and Supervisor Mars’ Office to launch the initiative and recognize World Elder Abuse Day

**Discussion:**

- Is there going to be any consideration in making sure the hotels are accessible?
  - No updates. Will follow up next month.
- Is there going to be wrap-around services for Project HomeKey?
  - No updates. Will follow up next month.

**EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH**

Executive Director Dearman and the DAS Commission honored Dominique McDaniels from the Office of County Veterans Services thanking her for her hard work and dedication.

**ADVISORY COUNCIL REPORT/Diane Lawrence**

President’s Report to the Commission on Aging and Adult Services on June 2, 2021.

**Key Areas:**

- 1) **Action Item:** None from the May 19, 2021 meeting
- 2) **Membership**
  - a) **Board of Supervisors**
    - (1) Work continues on filing Supervisor-appointed representatives. We will be following up on the personalized letters were sent to the supervisors.
  - b) **Commission**
    - i) 3 vacancies and the Commission is scheduled to approve one possible member at today's meeting.
    - ii) An additional application is under review.
  - c) **Advisory Council**
    - i) Introducing the Advisory Council's newest member, Tia Small.
- 3) **Reports from the Field:**
  - a) **Dignity Fund Update:**
    - i) Updates on community providers
      - (1) \$300,000 for digital literacy with iPads
      - (2) transportation, counseling, coaching, coming out of home—fear of re-entry after the pandemic
    - ii) A new needs assessment for the next 5 years is being worked on
    - iii) Strategy will include
      - (1) Focus groups of consumers and providers
      - (2) Survey of staff, clients based on those impacted by the pandemic
      - (3) Evaluation of current programs
      - (4) Address the issue of lack of knowledge of DAS and its services
    - iv) Efforts to get the \$3 million allotment back this year—advocacy work with Mayor Breed and the supervisors.
    - v) Council members were urged to lobby their supervisors; post meeting information was shared to members could reach out; no way to fund everything that is needed
    - vi) Transportation is not working well—an alternative plan is needed along with money to fund.
  - b) **LGBTQ Updates**
    - i) **LGBTQ Adult Survey**
      - (1) The target for the survey was 500 and that goal was reached and exceeded with a diverse group of respondents. The final report was delayed.
      - (2) The preliminary findings do include:
        - (a) Service gaps in shopping, health,
        - (b) Majority of respondents 83-97% are able to get the services they need.
      - (3) Most unmet need—mental health, counseling
      - (4) There is an interest in telehealth
      - (5) Depression has increased as has stress levels especially among people with disabilities
      - (6) The full report is expected in July.
    - c) **Joint Legislative Update:**
      - i) The Council approved sending letters of support for 3 bills moving through the legislature. See Joint Legislative Committee report below.
  - 4) **Old Business and Updates:**
    - a) **Senior Housing Ad Hoc Group Update 2 was given.**

**b) Site Visits**

i) We continue to work on this area but no update at our May meeting.

**ii) Member Reports:**

(1) CLC is emphasizing walks in different communities—focusing on getting folks outdoors.

**5) Next meeting:** Wednesday, June 16, 2021.

Discussion:

- Is there any special consideration given to people with disabilities in terms of getting out and about for those in isolation?
  - Will reach out to Dignity Fund Representative and follow up

**Reports from the field and Committee Reports:**

JOINT LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE REPORT/Diane Lawrence

- 1) The Committee discussed those bills noted in red—these are the ones with changes during the time between meetings.
- 2) The Committee also recommended to the Advisory Council that the Council advocate on behalf of three bills:
  - a) AB 383—Mental Health: Older Adults
    - i) There is a gap in spending especially around older adults; monies are not distributed in a systematic manner throughout the state and is often deferred for use in young adult programs or it isn't spent.
    - ii) With the pandemic, the issue of mental health has taken on new importance especially for older adults.
      - (1) There has been an increase in isolation, loss of peers, increased mortality rates.
      - (2) If there was already a history of depression, PTSD, and/or anxiety symptoms, they have doubled with Covid.
    - iii) Per UCSF—one third of the population has clinical symptoms of anxiety.
  - b) AB 848—Medi-Cal: Long Term Care: Personal Needs Allowance
    - i) The personal needs allowance of \$35 has not been raised since 1984!
    - ii) This bill has been before the legislature annually within the last decade.
    - iii) There was a provision for an indexing to the Consumer Price Index but that was eliminated due to administrative costs.
    - iv) The Council has advocated for this bill in years past.
  - c) SB 675—Property Taxation: Monthly Installment Payments
    - i) This bill passed in a previous session but Governor Brown vetoed it and would allow monthly payments of property taxes throughout the year via electronic means.
    - ii) It has broad support including the Howard Jarvis Taxpayer Association and opposed by the California Tax Collector Association. It would allow for payment via a county website.
  - d) Members agreed to draft the letters and they will be sent to the Department for the proper review and then sent to our local representatives.

<b>Bill Number</b>	<b>Sponsor</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Organization &amp; Support</b>
AB 14	Aguiar-Curry	<p><b>Communications: broadband services: California Advanced Services Fund.</b></p> <p>(1) Existing law establishes the State Department of Education in state government, and vests the department</p>	5/13/21/ Set for Hearing on 5/20/21	CSL (support)

		<p>with specified powers and duties relating to the state’s public school system.</p> <p>This bill would authorize local educational agencies to report to the department their pupils’ estimated needs for computing devices and internet connectivity adequate for at-home learning. The bill would require the department, in consultation with the Public Utilities Commission, to compile that information and to annually post that compiled information on the department’s internet website.</p> <p>(2) Existing law expressly authorizes a county service area to acquire, construct, improve, maintain, and operate broadband internet access services, and requires a county service area that does so to take certain actions regarding the accessing of content on the internet by end users of that service.</p> <p>This bill would similarly authorize the board of supervisors of a county to acquire, construct, improve, maintain, or operate broadband internet access service, and any other communications service necessary to obtain federal or state support for the acquisition, construction, improvement, maintenance, or operation of broadband internet access service, and would require a board that does so to take certain actions regarding the accessing of content on the internet by end users of that service.</p> <p>(3) Existing law establishes the Governor’s Office of Business and Economic Development, known as “GO-Biz,” within the Governor’s office to serve the Governor as the lead entity for economic strategy and the marketing of California on issues relating to business</p>	<p>5/6/21 Set for Hearing on 5/12/21</p> <p>4/29/21 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com on APPR. Re-referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>4/15/21 Set for hearing on 4/28/21</p> <p>4/15/21 From Com: do pass and re-refer to Com on L GOV. Re-referred to Com on L GOV</p> <p>4/15/21 Co-authors revised</p> <p>3/25/21 Set for Hearing 4/14/21</p> <p>1/11/21 Referred to Coms. On C &amp; C and L Gov</p> <p>12/7/21 Read first time. To print.</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

		<p>development, private sector investment, and economic growth.</p> <p>This bill would require the office, on or before June 30, 2022, to develop recommendations and a model for streamlined local land use approval and construction permit processes for projects related to broadband infrastructure deployment and connectivity and to adopt, and post on its internet website, the recommendations and model, as specified.</p> <p>(4) Under existing law, the Public Utilities Commission has regulatory authority over public utilities, including telephone corporations. Existing law requires the commission to develop, implement, and administer the California Advanced Services Fund (CASF) program to encourage deployment of high-quality advanced communications services to all Californians that will promote economic growth, job creation, and the substantial social benefits of advanced information and communications technologies. Existing law requires the commission, in approving CASF infrastructure projects, to give preference to projects in areas where only dial-up internet service is available or where no internet service is available. Existing law authorizes the commission to impose a surcharge to collect \$330,000,000 for deposit into the CASF beginning January 1, 2018, and continuing through the 2022 calendar year. Existing law establishes 4 accounts, the Broadband Infrastructure Grant Account, the Rural and Urban Regional Broadband Consortia Grant Account, the Broadband Public Housing Account, and the Broadband Adoption Account within the CASF and specifies the amount of moneys to be deposited into each account, subject to appropriation by the</p>	
--	--	--	--

		<p>Legislature. Existing law specifies, among other things, eligibility criteria for grants awarded from each of those accounts.</p> <p>This bill would require the commission, in approving CASF infrastructure projects that provide last-mile broadband access to unserved and unserved households, to instead prioritize projects in unserved areas, as defined, where internet connectivity is available only at speeds at or below 6 megabits per second (mbps) downstream and one mbps upstream or areas with no internet connectivity, with a goal of achieving at least 100 mbps downstream, and to further prioritize projects based on other specified attributes. Upon the achievement of the goal of providing broadband access to 98% of California households in each consortia region, the bill would require the commission to prioritize only middle-mile infrastructure, as provided. The bill would authorize moneys appropriated for purposes of CASF program to be used to match or leverage federal moneys for internet infrastructure and adoption, as specified. The bill would require the commission to maximize investments in new, robust, and scalable infrastructure and use CASF moneys to leverage federal and non-CASF moneys by undertaking specified activities. The bill would delete the commission's authorization to collect \$330,000,000 for deposit into the CASF beginning January 1, 2018, and continuing through the 2022 calendar year, delete the requirement that specified amounts of the surcharge revenues be deposited into those accounts, and instead authorize the commission to collect the surcharge in an amount not to exceed an unspecified percentage of an end user's intrastate telecommunications service costs to fund the accounts within the</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

		<p>CASF. The bill would revise, among other things, the eligibility criteria for grants awarded from the Broadband Infrastructure Grant Account, as specified. The bill would authorize the additional uses of moneys in the Rural and Urban Regional Broadband Consortia Grant Account to, among other uses, promote adoption of free, low-cost, income-qualified, or affordable home internet service offers.</p> <p>This bill would require that the CASF program promote remote learning and telehealth, in addition to economic growth, job creation, and the substantial social benefits of advanced information and communications technologies. The bill would repeal the requirement that moneys in the Broadband Public Housing Account not awarded by December 31, 2020, be transferred back to the Broadband Infrastructure Grant Account and would require that moneys in that account be available for grants and loans for network deployment in eligible publicly support communities, as specified. The bill would authorize the commission to require a performance metric plan to improve the administration of grants awarded from the Broadband Adoption Account.</p> <p>Existing law requires the commission to annually offer an existing facility-based broadband provider the opportunity to demonstrate that it will deploy broadband or upgrade existing facilities to a delineated unserved area within 180 days. Existing law prohibits the commission from approving funding for a project to deploy broadband to a delineated unserved area if the existing facility-based broadband provider demonstrates to the commission, in response to the commission's annual offer, that it will deploy broadband or upgrade existing</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

		<p>broadband service throughout the project area.</p> <p>This bill would repeal that requirement and prohibition.</p> <p>This bill would authorize the commission, upon determining, in consultation with the State Treasurer, that doing so would be lawful, to issue bonds secured by CASF surcharge revenues in an aggregate amount up to \$1,000,000,000 for broadband deployment and adoption, and provide that such bonds do not constitute a debt or liability of the state or of any political subdivision thereof, other than the commission. The bill would establish the Broadband Bond Financing and Securitization Account within the CASF and, if the commission issues bonds, would deposit the moneys received by the commission from the CASF surcharge into the account for purposes of funding costs related to broadband bond financing and securities. The bill would require each local government agency or nonprofit organization allocated moneys from this account to file specified reports with the commission.</p> <p>Existing law requires the commission to conduct interim and final financial and performance audits of the implementation and effectiveness of the CASF for specified purposes and to report the interim findings to the Legislature by April 1, 2020, and to report the final findings by April 1, 2023. Existing law repeals this requirement on January 1, 2027.</p> <p>This bill would instead require the commission, on or before April 1, 2023, and annually thereafter, to conduct a financial audit and a performance audit of the implementation and effectiveness of</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

		<p>the CASF for those purposes and to report those findings to the Legislature. The bill would require the commission to submit that report in perpetuity.</p> <p>Existing law requires the commission, until April 1, 2023, to annually provide a report to the Legislature that includes certain information, including the remaining unserved areas in the state, the status of the California Advanced Services Fund balance, and the projected amount to be collected in each year.</p> <p>This bill would require the commission to submit that report in perpetuity.</p> <p>This bill would authorize the commission to require each internet service provider, as defined, to report specified information regarding each free, low-cost, income-qualified, or affordable internet service plan advertised by the provider.</p> <p>(5) Existing law requires the commission to require interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers to collect and remit surcharges on their California intrastate revenues in support of the public purpose program funds. Existing law authorizes those providers to use certain methodologies to identify their intrastate revenues subject to the surcharge.</p> <p>This bill would repeal that authorization to use those methodologies.</p> <p>(6) Under existing law, a violation of the Public Utilities Act or any order, decision, rule, direction, demand, or requirement of the commission is a crime.</p> <p>Because a violation of a commission action implementing this bill's requirements would be a crime, the bill</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

		<p>would impose a state-mandated local program.</p> <p>The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.</p> <p>This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.</p> <p>(7) This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.</p>		
AB 23	Chen	<p><b>Benefits: eligibility determination: inmates.</b></p> <p>Existing law authorizes the Employment Development Department (department) to administer the federal-state unemployment insurance program and provides for the payment of unemployment compensation benefits to eligible individuals who are unemployed through no fault of their own. Existing law establishes procedures for the filing, determination, and payment of benefit claims, and those benefits are payable from the Unemployment Fund. Existing law requires the department to promptly pay benefits if it finds the claimant is eligible and to promptly deny benefits if it finds the claimant is ineligible for benefits. Existing law requires the department to consider facts submitted by an employer in making this determination and also provides for the department to audit claims, as specified.</p> <p>This bill would, beginning July 1, 2021, require the department, as part of its</p>	<p>1/11/21 Referred to Coms. On INS and P &amp; CP</p> <p>12/08/21 From printer.</p> <p>12/7/21 Read first time.</p>	CSL Support

		<p>process for determining eligibility for unemployment insurance benefits, cross-check all claimant information with state and county correctional facility inmate data in an effort to detect fraudulent applications.</p> <p>This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.</p>		
AB 29	Cooper	<p><b>State bodies: meetings.</b></p> <p>Existing law, the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act, requires that all meetings of a state body, as defined, be open and public, and that all persons be permitted to attend any meeting of a state body, except as otherwise provided in that act. Existing law requires the state body to provide notice of its meeting, including specified information and a specific agenda of the meeting, as provided, to any person who requests that notice in writing and to make that notice available on the internet at least 10 days in advance of the meeting.</p> <p>This bill would require that notice to include all writings or materials provided for the noticed meeting to a member of the state body by the staff of a state agency, board, or commission, or another member of the state body that are in connection with a matter subject to discussion or consideration at the meeting. The bill would require those writings or materials to be made available on the state body's internet website, and to any person who requests the writings or materials in writing, on the same day as the dissemination of the writings and materials to members of the state body or at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting, whichever is earlier. The bill would prohibit a state body from</p>	<p>5/13/21/ Set for Hearing on 5/20/21</p> <p>4/15/21 Set for hearing on 4/21/21</p> <p>4/12/21 From committee: do pass and re-refer to Com on APPR. Re-referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>1/11/21 Referred to Com. On GO</p> <p>12/8/21 From Printers.</p> <p>12/7/20 Read first time. To print.</p>	CSL Support

		discussing those writings or materials, or from taking action on an item to which those writings or materials pertain, at a meeting of the state body unless the state body has complied with these provisions.		
<b>AB 71</b>	Luz Rivas	<p><b>Homelessness Funding: Bring California Home Act</b></p> <p>(1) The Personal Income Tax Law, in conformity with federal income tax law, generally defines gross income as income from whatever source derived, except as specifically excluded, and provides various exclusions from gross income. Existing federal law, for purposes of determining a taxpayer's gross income for federal income taxation, requires that a person who is a United States shareholder of any controlled foreign corporation to include in their gross income the global intangible low-taxed income for that taxable year, as provided.</p> <p>This bill, for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2022, would include a taxpayer's global intangible low-taxed income in their gross income for purposes of the Personal Income Tax Law, in modified conformity with the above-described federal provisions. The bill would exempt any regulation, standard, criterion, procedure, determination, rule, notice, or guideline established or issued by the Franchise Tax Board to implement its provisions from the rulemaking provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act.</p> <p>The Corporation Tax Law, when the income of a taxpayer subject to tax under that law is derived from or attributable to sources both within and without the state, generally requires that the tax be measured by the net income derived from</p>	<p>5/13/21/ Set for Hearing on 5/20/21</p> <p>5/6/21 Set for Hearing on 5/12/21</p> <p>5/5/21 Re-referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>5/4/21 Read second time and amended</p> <p>5/3/21 From committee: amend, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com on APPR</p> <p>4/20/21 From committee: do pass and re-refer to Com on H &amp; CD. Re-referred to Com on H &amp; CD</p> <p>4/8/21 Set for hearing on 4/19/21</p> <p>3/25/21 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-</p>	<p>Justice in Aging – related to MPA Goal 1</p> <p><i>Amendments were accepted</i></p>

		<p>or attributable to sources within this state, as provided. Notwithstanding this requirement, the Corporation Tax Law authorizes a qualified taxpayer, as defined, to elect to determine its income derived from or attributable to sources within this state pursuant to a water's-edge election, as provided. For taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2003, existing law requires that a water's-edge election be made on an original, timely filed return for the year of the election, as provided, and provides for the continued effect or termination of that election.</p> <p>This bill, beginning January 1, 2022, would require that a taxpayer that makes a water's-edge election under these provisions take into account 50% of the global intangible low-taxed income and 40% of the repatriation income of its affiliated corporations, as those terms are defined. The bill would allow a taxpayer, for calendar year 2022 only, the opportunity to revoke a water's-edge election if the taxpayer includes global intangible low-taxed income pursuant to these provisions. The bill would prohibit the total of all business credits, as defined, and all credits allowed under specified provisions of the Corporation Tax Law, with specified exceptions, from reducing the additional tax liability added by this bill's provisions by more than \$5,000,000, as provided. The bill would exempt any regulation, standard, criterion, procedure, determination, rule, notice, or guideline established or issued by the Franchise Tax Board to implement its provisions from the rulemaking provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act.</p> <p>This bill would state the intent of the Legislature that any revenue resulting from the above-described changes to the</p>	<p>refer to Com on Rev and Tax. Read second time and amended.</p> <p>1/15/21 Re-referred on Coms. On REV &amp; TAX and H &amp; CD pursuant to Assembly Rule 96.</p> <p>1/13/21 Re-referred to Com. On H &amp; CD</p> <p>1/12/21 From committee chair, with authors amendments: amend and re-refer to Com on H &amp; CD. Read second time and amended.</p> <p>12/8/21 From printer</p>	
--	--	---	---	--

		<p>Personal Income Tax Law and the Corporation Tax Law be used for purposes of the Bring California Home Act, as described below.</p> <p>(2) Existing law requires the Governor to create the Homeless Coordinating and Financing Council (council). Existing law specifies the duties of the coordinating council, including creating partnerships among state agencies and departments, local government agencies, and specified federal agencies and private entities, for the purpose of arriving at specific strategies to end homelessness. Existing law requires the Governor to appoint up to 19 members of the council, including representatives from specified state agencies and departments, and a formerly homeless person and a formerly homeless youth who both live in California, and requires the Senate Committee on Rules and the Speaker of the Assembly to each appoint one member to the council from 2 different stakeholder organizations.</p> <p>This bill would delete the provisions relating to the appointment authority of the Governor and the Legislature, and would instead restructure the council, including requiring the council to be composed of prescribed individuals, including the directors of specified state agencies and departments, such as the State Department of Public Health. The bill would require the council to seek guidance from, and meet with, an advisory committee composed of specified individuals, including a survivor of gender-based violence who formerly experienced homelessness and a formerly homeless person who lives in California.</p> <p>This bill would require the council, its technical services provider, or an entity with which the council contracts to</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

		<p>identify, analyze, and collect various data in regards to homelessness in this state, including identifying state programs that provide housing or housing-based services to persons experiencing homelessness, as provided. The bill would require the council to report on this information to specified committees of the Legislature by July 31, 2022. The bill would require the council to seek technical assistance offered by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development, if available, for purposes of conducting this statewide needs and gaps analysis. The bill would require a state department or agency with a member on the council to assist in data collection for the analysis by responding to data requests within 180 days, as specified.</p> <p>The bill would require the council to convene a funder's workgroup, composed of specified individuals, including staff of the council and staff working for agencies or departments represented on the council, to accomplish prescribed goals, and would authorize that workgroup to invite philanthropic organizations focused on ending homelessness, reducing health disparities, ending domestic violence, or ensuring Californians do not exit foster care or incarceration to homelessness to participate in specific meetings. The bill would require the workgroup to perform specified duties, including collaborating with state agency staff to develop a universal application for service providers and other entities to apply to agencies and departments represented on the council for funding for homeless services and housing, and to coordinate state agencies and departments to reduce the risk of long-term homelessness by developing specific protocols and procedures that accomplish prescribed</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

		<p>goals, such as assisting individuals reentering communities from jails and prisons with housing navigation, housing acquisition support, and obtaining permanent housing.</p> <p>Existing law requires agencies and departments administering state programs to collaborate with the council to adopt guidelines to revise or adopt guidelines and regulations to incorporate core components of Housing First, as provided. Existing law defines “state programs” for these purposes to mean any programs a California state agency or department funds, implements, or administers for the purpose of providing housing or housing-based services to people experiencing homelessness or at risk of homelessness, but excludes federally funded programs with inconsistent requirements or programs that fund emergency shelters.</p> <p>This bill would delete the exclusion for programs that fund emergency shelters from this definition of “state programs,” thereby expanding the scope of programs required to incorporate core components of Housing First, as described above.</p> <p>(3) Existing law establishes, among various other programs intended to address homelessness in this state, the Homeless Housing, Assistance, and Prevention program for the purpose of providing jurisdictions with one-time grant funds to support regional coordination and expand or develop local capacity to address their immediate homelessness challenges informed by a best-practices framework focused on moving homeless individuals and families into permanent housing and supporting the efforts of those individuals and families to maintain their permanent housing. Existing law provides for the</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

		<p>allocation of funding under the program among continuums of care, cities, and counties in 2 rounds, the first of which is administered by the Business, Consumer Services, and Housing Agency and the second of which is administered by the coordinating council.</p> <p>This bill would enact the Bring California Home Act, which would establish the Bring California Home Fund in the State Treasury and continuously appropriate moneys in that fund for the purpose of implementing that act. The bill would require the Controller to annually transfer specified amounts, determined as provided by the Franchise Tax Board based on the above-described changes made by this bill to the Personal Income Tax Law and the Corporation Tax Law, to the Bring California Home Fund. The bill would require that recipients and subrecipients under the program ensure that any expenditure of moneys allocated to them serve the eligible population, unless otherwise expressly provided in the bill. The bill would define various terms for these purposes.</p> <p>The bill would require the council to administer allocations to counties and continuums of care that apply jointly and to large cities, as provided. The bill would require the council to set aside \$200,000,000 for bonus awards, as provided. Of the remaining amount in the fund, the bill would require the council to allocate 60% to counties and continuums of care applying jointly and 40% to large cities, in accordance with a specified formula and subject to certain requirements. The bill would establish eligibility criteria for a county and continuum of care or a large city to receive an allocation under these provisions and specify the eligible uses for those moneys. The bill would</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

		<p>authorize a jointly applying county and continuum of care to request that the State Department of Social Services contract with local agencies or nonprofit organizations providing the housing and housing-based services under the program in exchange for a percentage of the allocation to the county and continuum of care for administrative costs, as provided.</p> <p>The bill would require the council to allocate available funding in 2-year cycles, with the first round allocated no later than March 31, 2023, and to develop a simple application that an eligible entity may use to apply for funding, as well as common standards for recipients to monitor, report, and ensure accountability, provide services, and subsidize housing. The bill would require the council and each recipient to establish performance outcomes for the initial cycle and to establish outcome goals before each subsequent grant cycle, as provided, and require the council to award bonus funding to a recipient, if the recipient has achieved those performance outcomes, or reduce or deny that bonus funding the if the recipient has not achieved those performance outcomes.</p> <p>The bill, except as otherwise provided, would require each recipient to contractually obligate 100% of the amount allocated to it within 3 years, for the first grant cycle, or 1 year, for each subsequent cycle, and to expend the entirety of that amount within 4 years, for the first grant cycle, or 2 years, for each subsequent cycle. If a recipient fails to comply with these deadlines, uses moneys allocated to it for an unauthorized purpose, or fails to apply for an allocation within the initial award cycle, the bill would require the council to either select an alternative entity to administer the</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

		<p>recipient's allocation in accordance with specified requirements or solely establish performance outcomes and program priorities for that recipient jurisdiction and work with local, regional, or statewide entities to administer the allocation on behalf of the recipient.</p> <p>The bill would require each recipient to annually report to the council specified information relating to allocations made under these provisions. The bill would require the council to conduct regular monitoring and audits of the activities and outcomes of recipients that are joint county-continuum of care applicants or large cities. No later than January 1, 2024, and every 5th January 1 thereafter, the bill would require the council to evaluate the outcomes of this program and submit a report, containing specified information, to specified committees of the Legislature. The bill would require the council to establish an advisory committee to inform state and local policies, practices, and programs with respect to the experiences of specified demographic groups experiencing homelessness.</p> <p>(4) Existing law provides for the Medi-Cal program, which is administered by the State Department of Health Care Services, under which qualified low-income individuals receive health care services pursuant to a schedule of benefits. The Medi-Cal program is, in part, governed and funded by federal Medicaid program provisions.</p> <p>By January 1, 2025, this bill would require the department to seek federal approval for a Medi-Cal benefit to fund prescribed services, including housing navigation and housing acquisition support services, for beneficiaries experiencing homelessness, to convene a</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

		<p>stakeholder advisory group representing counties, health care consumers, and homeless advocates in developing this plan, to work with counties to determine an effective process for funding the state's share of the federal medical assistance percentage, and to pursue philanthropic funding to carry out the administrative duties related to these provisions. The bill would authorize the department to use up to 20% of the county-continuum allocation from the Bring California Home Fund, as described above, to pay for the state's federal medical assistance percentage associated with this benefit.</p>		
<b>AB 98</b>	Frazier	<p><b>Health care: medical goods: reuse and redistribution.</b></p> <p>Existing law, the Mello-Granlund Older Californians Act, reflects the policy mandates and directives of the Older Americans Act of 1965, as amended, and sets forth the state's commitment to its older population and other populations served by the programs administered by the California Department of Aging.</p> <p>This bill would require the department, upon appropriation by the Legislature, to establish a comprehensive 3-year pilot program in the Counties of Contra Costa, Napa, and Solano to facilitate the reuse and redistribution of durable medical equipment and other home health supplies. The bill would require the department to contract in each county with a local nonprofit agency to oversee the program and would require the contracting nonprofit agency to, at a minimum, develop a computerized system to track the inventory of equipment and supplies available for reuse and redistribution and organize</p>	<p>5/13/21/ Set for Hearing on 5/20/21</p> <p>5/6/21 Set for Hearing on 5/12/21</p> <p>5/3/21 Re-referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>4/29/21 Read second time and amended</p> <p>4/21/21 Set for Hearing on 4/27/21</p> <p>4/20/21 From committee: do pass and re-refer to Com on Health with recommendation: to consent calendar. Re-</p>	<p>CSL sponsored</p> <p>Assembly Aging and LTC Committee Hearing 4/6/21</p> <p>MPA Goal 2</p> <p><i>This if passed would be repealed 1/1/2030; 3-year pilot in limited counties</i></p>

		<p>pickup and delivery of equipment and supplies. <i>The bill would require the department, on or before January 1, 2026, to submit a report to the Assembly Committee on Aging and Long-Term Care, the Assembly Committee on Health, and the Senate Committee on Health that includes an evaluation of the success of the pilot program and challenges in implementation, among other things. The bill would repeal its provisions on January 1, 2030.</i></p>	<p>referred to Com on Health</p> <p>4/12/21 Set for Hearing on 4/20/21.</p> <p>3/2/21 Set for hearing on 4/6/21 9am</p> <p>1/11/21 Referred to Coms. On AGING &amp; LTC and HEALTH</p> <p>1/11/21 Read first time.</p> <p>12/9/20 Introduced. To Print.</p>	
AB 123	Gonzalez	<p><b>Paid Family Leave: Weekly Benefit Amount</b></p> <p>Existing law defines “weekly benefit amount” for purposes of both employee contributions and benefits under this program to mean the amount of weekly benefits available to qualifying disabled individuals pursuant to unemployment compensation disability law, calculated pursuant to specified formulas partly based on the applicable percentage of the wages paid to an individual for employment by employers during the quarter of the individual’s disability base period in which these wages were highest, but not to exceed the maximum workers’ compensation temporary disability indemnity weekly benefit amount established by the Department of Industrial Relations.</p>	<p>5/13/21/ Set for Hearing on 5/20/21</p> <p>4/29/21 Set for Hearing on 5/5/21</p> <p>4/15/21 From committee. Do pass and re-refer to Com on APPR. Re-referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>3/23/21 Set for hearing 4/15/21</p> <p>1/11/21 Referred to Com on Insurance</p>	MPA Goal 4

		<p>This bill would revise the formula for determining benefits available pursuant to the family temporary disability insurance program, for periods of disability commencing after January 1, 2022, by redefining the weekly benefit amount to be equal to 90% of the wages paid to an individual for employment by employers during the quarter of the individual's disability base period in which these wages were highest, divided by 13, but not exceeding the maximum workers' compensation temporary disability indemnity weekly benefit amount established by the Department of Industrial Relations.</p> <p>By providing for the deposit of additional contributions in, and by authorizing an increase in disbursements from, the Unemployment Compensation Disability Fund, this bill would make an appropriation.</p>	<p>1/11/21 Read first time</p> <p>12/19/21 From printer. May be heard in committee Jan. 18</p>	
AB 234	Ramos	<p><b>Office of Suicide Prevention</b></p> <p>Existing law authorizes the State Department of Public Health to establish the Office of Suicide Prevention within the department, and requires the office to perform specified duties, including providing information and technical assistance to statewide and regional partners regarding best practices on suicide prevention policies and programs and reporting on progress to reduce rates of suicide, and authorize the office to apply for and use federal, state, and foundation grants.</p> <p>This bill would remove the limitation that, should the office be established, all duties and responsibilities of the office</p>	<p>5/13/21/ Set for Hearing on 5/20/21</p> <p>4/8/21 Set for hearing on 4/14/21</p> <p>3/24/21 From committee: do pass and re-refer to Com on APPR with recommendation: to consent calendar. Re-referred to Com on APPR</p>	Advisory Council

		be carried out using existing staff and resources.	<p>3/15/21 Set for Hearing 3/23/21</p> <p>1/28/21 Referred to Com. On Health</p> <p>1/13/21 From printer: May be heard in committee Feb. 12</p> <p>1/12/21 Read first time. To print.</p>	
<p><b>AB 279</b></p> <p>Amended twice</p>	Muratsuchi	<p><b>Intermediate Care Facilities: COVID-19</b></p> <p>(1) Existing law requires the State Department of Public Health to license, inspect, and regulate intermediate care facilities (ICF) and skilled nursing facilities (SNF). Existing law generally requires an ICF or SNF to comply with certain procedures and disclosures when transferring ownership or management of the facility, as specified. Existing law imposes criminal penalties on a person who violates the requirements imposed on these facilities.</p> <p>This bill would prohibit an ICF or SNF, as defined, from terminating or making significant <i>quality-of-care</i> changes to its skilled nursing or supportive care services, or from transferring a resident to another <del>facility</del>, <i>ICF or SNF</i>, during any declared state of emergency relating to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), except if the owner files a bankruptcy petition. <del>The</del> <i>Besides the exception of a bankruptcy petition, the</i> bill would authorize a resident transfer <i>during the state of emergency</i> only if the transfer is deemed medically necessary by <del>a</del></p>	<p>5/13/21 In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.</p> <p>5/13/21 Read third time. Urgency clause adopted. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. (Ayes 58. Noes 1.).</p> <p>4/29/21 Read second time. Ordered to third reading</p> <p>4/28/21 From committee: Do pass</p> <p>4/22/21 Set for Hearing on 4/28/21</p> <p>4/19/21 Re-referred to Com on APPR</p>	<p>AA Advocates for Nursing Home Reform</p> <p>MPA Goal 2</p> <p><i>Prohibits transfers during Covid, changed to transfers during emergency; also changes government agency to attending physician.</i></p>

		<p><del>government</del>—agency, an attending physician, as specified, or the impacted resident or their representative provides written consent, as specified.</p> <p>The bill would require, for one year after termination of the same type of state of emergency, the owner of an ICF or SNF to issue a 6-month advance notice of any proposed sale or termination of the licensed operation of the facility to each resident and their representatives before the sale or termination goes into effect. The bill would also prohibit, during the same type of state of emergency, any changes in all conditions for the sale of assets imposed by the Attorney General, except if the owner of an ICF or SNF files a bankruptcy petition.</p> <p>During the same type of state of emergency, if a resident of an ICF or SNF, or an individual temporarily transferred to an ICF or SNF, has tested positive for COVID-19 within the previous 14 calendar days, the bill would require the ICF or SNF to notify all residents and their representatives about the existence of a new case of COVID-19, as specified, subject to state and federal privacy laws.</p> <p>By expanding the requirements and prohibitions imposed on a licensee of an ICF or SNF, and thereby expanding the scope of a crime, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.</p> <p>The bill would repeal these provisions on January 1, 2026.</p> <p>(2) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions</p>	<p>4/15/21 Read second time and amended</p> <p>4/14/21 From committee: amen, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com on APPR</p> <p>3/25/21 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend. And re-refer to Com on Health. Read second time and amended.</p> <p>1/28/21 Referred to Com. on HEALTH.</p> <p>1/22/21 From printer. May be heard in committee February 21.</p> <p>1/21/21 Read first time. To print.</p>	
--	--	---	---	--

		<p>establish procedures for making that reimbursement.</p> <p>This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.</p> <p>(3) This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.</p>		
AB 305	Maienschein	<p><b>Veteran Services: Notice</b></p> <p>Existing law requires every state agency that requests on any written form or written publication, or through its internet website, whether a person is a veteran, to request that information in a specified manner.</p> <p>This bill would delete that requirement, and would instead require specified governmental agencies to include, at their next scheduled update, questions on their intake and application forms to determine whether a person is affiliated with the Armed Forces of the United States. The bill would require those agencies, through the intake or application form, to request permission from that person to transmit their contact information to the Department of Veterans Affairs so that the person may be notified of potential eligibility to receive state and federal veterans benefits.</p> <p>This bill would require the agencies to electronically transmit to the Department of Veterans Affairs specified information regarding each person who has identified that they, or a family member, has served in the Armed Forces of the United States and has consented to be contacted about military, veterans, family member, or survivor benefits. By requiring</p>	<p>5/13/21 Set for Hearing on 5/20/21</p> <p>5/6/21 Set for Hearing on 5/12/21</p> <p>4/29/21 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com on APPR. Re-referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>4/26/21 Set for hearing on 4/28/21</p> <p>2/12/21 Referred to Com on M &amp; VA</p> <p>1/26/21 From printer. May be heard in committee Feb. 25</p> <p>1/25/21 Read first time. To print.</p>	

		<p>community college districts to comply with these requirements, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.</p> <p>This bill would request the Regents of the University of California to comply with the above-described provisions.</p> <p>The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.</p> <p>This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.</p>		
AB 323	Kalra	<p><b>Long-term health facilities.</b></p> <p>The Long-Term Care, Health, Safety, and Security Act of 1973 generally requires the State Department of Public Health to license and regulate long-term health care facilities and to establish an inspection and reporting system to ensure that long-term health care facilities are in compliance with state statutes and regulations. The term “long-term health care facility” includes, among other types of facilities, a skilled nursing facility and intermediate care facility.</p> <p>The act defines a class “A” violation as a violation that the department determines presents either (1) imminent danger that death or serious harm to the patients or residents of the long-term health care facility would result therefrom, or (2) substantial probability that death or serious physical harm to patients or</p>	<p>5/5/21 From committee: Do pass</p> <p>4/29/21 Set for Hearing on 5/5/21</p> <p>4/28/21 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com on APPR. Re-referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>4/22/21 Set for hearing on 4/27/21</p> <p>2/12/21 Referred to Com. On HEALTH</p>	CSL Support

		<p>residents of the long-term health care facility would result therefrom. The act defines a class “AA” violation as a class “A” violation that the department determines to have been a direct proximate cause of death of a patient or resident of the facility. The act defines a class “B” violation as a violation that the department determines has a direct or immediate relationship to the health, safety, or security of long-term health care facility patients or residents, other than class “AA” or “A” violations. Class “B” violations are also, unless otherwise determined by the department to be a class “A” violation, any violation of a patient’s rights as set forth in specified regulations that is determined by the department to cause, or under circumstances likely to cause, significant humiliation, indignity, anxiety, or other emotional trauma to a patient. The act requires the department to prove specific elements to enforce a citation for a class “AA” violation, including the element that death resulted from an occurrence of a nature that the regulation was designed to prevent.</p> <p>This bill would redefine a class “AA” violation as a class “A” violation that the department determines to have been a substantial factor, as described, in the death of a resident of a long-term health care facility. The bill would increase the civil penalties for a class “A,” “AA,” or “B” violation by a skilled nursing facility or intermediate care facility, as specified. The bill would delete numerous references to the “patients” of a long-term health care facility.</p>	<p>1/27/21 From printer.</p> <p>1/26/21 Read first time</p>	
--	--	---	---	--

AB 344	Flora	<p><b>IHSS Provider Orientation</b></p> <p>Existing law provides for the In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) program, under which qualified aged, blind, and disabled persons receive services enabling them to remain in their own homes. Existing law requires prospective providers of in-home supportive services to complete a provider orientation at the time of enrollment and, at the conclusion of the provider orientation, to sign a specified statement. Existing law requires the county to retain this statement indefinitely in the provider's file.</p> <p>This bill would instead require the county to retain the statement in the provider's file for a period of 7 years.</p>	<p>2/12/21 Referred to Com on Human services</p> <p>1/29/21 From printer</p> <p>1/28/21 Read first time. To print</p>	
AB 383	Salas	<p><b>Mental health: older adults.</b></p> <p>Existing law, the Mental Health Services Act (MHSA), an initiative measure enacted by the voters as Proposition 63 at the November 2, 2004, statewide general election, establishes the continuously appropriated Mental Health Services Fund to fund various county mental health programs, including the Adult and Older Adult Mental Health System of Care Act. Existing law authorizes the MHSA to be amended by a <sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub> vote of the Legislature if the amendments are consistent with, and further the purposes of, the MHSA, and also permits the Legislature to clarify procedures and terms of the MHSA by a majority vote.</p> <p>This bill would establish within the State Department of Health Care Services an Older Adult Mental Health Services Administrator to oversee mental health services for older adults. The bill would require that position to be funded with administrative funds from the Mental</p>	<p>5/13/21/ Set for Hearing on 5/19/21</p> <p>4/26/21 Re-referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>4/22/21 Read second time and amended.</p> <p>4/21/21 From committee: amend and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com on APPR with recommendation: to consent calendar.</p> <p>4/8/21Set for hearing 4/20/21</p>	<p>CSL Sponsored</p> <p>MPA Goal 2</p> <p><i>Amendment requiring posting the report on the department's website.</i></p>

		<p>Health Services Fund. The bill would prescribe the functions of the administrator and its responsibilities, including, but not limited to, developing outcome and related indicators for older adults for the purpose of assessing the status of mental health services for older adults, monitoring the quality of programs for those adults, and guiding decision making on how to improve those services. The bill would require the administrator to receive data from other state agencies and departments to implement these provisions, subject to existing state or federal confidentiality requirements. The bill would require the administrator to report to the entities that administer the MHSA on those outcome and related indicators by July 1, 2022, and <del>would authorize the administrator to make the report available to the Legislature, upon request</del> <i>require the report to be posted on the department's internet website.</i> The bill would also require the administrator to develop a strategy and standardized training for all county mental health personnel in order for the counties to assist the administrator in obtaining the data necessary to develop the outcome and related indicators.</p> <p>This bill would declare that it clarifies procedures and terms of the Mental Health Services Act.</p>	<p>4/7/21 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com on Health. Re-referred to Com on Health</p> <p>3/2/21 Set for hearing on 4/6/21 9am</p> <p>2/12/21 Referred to Coms. On AGING &amp; LTC and Health</p> <p>2/3/21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 5.</p>	
<p><b>AB 457</b></p> <p>Amended twice</p>	<p>Santiago</p>	<p><b>Protection of Patient Choice in Telehealth Provider Act</b></p> <p>Existing law, the Knox-Keene Health Care Service Plan Act of 1975, provides for the licensure and regulation of health care service plans by the Department of Managed Health Care, and makes a willful violation of the act a crime. Existing law provides for the regulation</p>	<p>5/13/21/ Set for Hearing on 5/20/21</p> <p>5/6/21 Set for Hearing on 5/12/21</p>	<p>Advisory Council</p> <p>(Self Sufficiency Tracking)</p> <p><i>healthcare</i></p>

		<p>of health insurers by the Department of Insurance. Existing law requires a contract issued, amended, or renewed on or after January 1, 2021, between a health care service plan or health insurer and a health care provider to require the plan or insurer to reimburse the provider for the diagnosis, consultation, or treatment of an enrollee, subscriber, insured, or policyholder appropriately delivered through telehealth services on the same basis and to the same extent as the same service through in-person diagnosis, consultation, or treatment.</p> <p>This bill would enact the Protection of Patient Choice in Telehealth Provider Act, which would require a health care service plan and a health insurer to arrange for the provision of a service via telehealth to an enrollee or an insured through a third-party corporate telehealth <del>provider only if the service is not available to the enrollee or insured via telehealth through a contracting individual health professional, a contracting clinic, or a contracting health facility, consistent with existing timeliness standards, when specified conditions are met.</del> <i>provider, as defined, only if specified notice conditions are met and the enrollee or insured, once notified as specified, elects to receive the service via telehealth through a third-party corporate telehealth provider. For an enrollee or insured that is currently receiving specialty telehealth services for a mental or behavioral health condition, the bill would require that the enrollee or insured be given the option of continuing to receive that service with the contracting individual health professional, a contracting clinic, or a contracting health facility.</i> Because a willful violation of the bill’s requirements relative to health care service plans would</p>	<p>4/28/21 Re-referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>4/27/21 Read second time and amended</p> <p>4/26/21 From committee” amend, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com on APPR</p> <p>4/12/21 Re-referred to Com on Health. Read second time and amended.</p> <p>4/8/21 Set for hearing on 4/20/21</p> <p>4/8/21 From committee chair, with author’s amendments: Amend and re-refer to Com on Health. Read second time and amended</p> <p>2/18/21 Referred to Com on Health</p> <p>2/9/21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 11</p> <p>2/8/21 Read first time. To print.</p>	<p><i>service plan provides service for telehealth services; gives more input to the recipient of the services</i></p>
--	--	---	---	--

		<p>be a crime, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.</p> <p>The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.</p> <p>This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.</p>		
AB 470	Carrillo	<p><b>Medi-Cal: Eligibility</b></p> <p>Existing law, the Medi-Cal Act, provides for the Medi-Cal program, which is administered by the State Department of Health Care Services, under which qualified low-income individuals receive health care services. The Medi-Cal program is, in part, governed and funded by federal Medicaid program provisions. Existing law requires Medi-Cal benefits to be provided to individuals eligible for services pursuant to prescribed standards, including a modified adjusted gross income (MAGI) eligibility standard. Existing law prohibits the use of an asset or resources test for individuals whose financial eligibility for Medi-Cal is determined based on the application of MAGI. Existing federal law authorizes a state to establish a non-MAGI standard for determining the eligibility of specified individuals, and existing law imposes the use of a resources test for establishing Medi-Cal eligibility for prescribed populations.</p> <p>This bill would prohibit the use of resources, including property or other assets, to determine eligibility under the Medi-Cal program to the extent permitted</p>	<p>5/13/21/ Set for Hearing on 5/20/21</p> <p>4/222/21 Set for Hearing on 4/28/21</p> <p>4/14/21 Re-referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>4/12/21 From committee: Amend. And do pass as amended and re-refer to Com on APPR.</p> <p>3/25/21 Set for Hearing 4/6/21</p> <p>3/22/21 Re-referred to Com on Health</p> <p>3/18/21 From committee chair with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. On</p>	<p>Western Center; Justice in Aging</p> <p>(Self Sufficiency Tracking)</p> <p>MPA Goal 2</p>

		<p>by federal law, and would require the department to seek federal authority to disregard all resources as authorized by the flexibilities provided pursuant to federal law. The bill would authorize the department to implement this prohibition by various means, including provider bulletins, without taking regulatory authority. By January 1, 2023, the bill would require the department to adopt, amend, or repeal regulations on the prohibition, and to update its notices and forms to delete any reference to limitations on resources or assets. Because counties are required to make Medi-Cal eligibility determinations, and this bill would expand Medi-Cal eligibility, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. With respect to the prohibition on resources, the bill would make various conforming and technical changes to the Medi-Cal Act.</p> <p>The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.</p> <p>This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.</p>	<p>Health. Read 2<sup>nd</sup> time and amended.</p> <p>3/18/21 Referred to Com on Health</p> <p>2/9/21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 11</p> <p>2/8/21 Read first time. To print.</p>	
AB 499	Rubio	<p><b>Referral Source for RCFE: Duties</b></p> <p>(1) The California Residential Care Facilities for the Elderly Act generally requires the State Department of Social Services to license, inspect, and regulate residential care facilities for the elderly and imposes criminal penalties on a</p>	<p>5/13/21/ Set for Hearing on 5/20/21</p> <p>4/29/21 Set for Hearing on 5/5/21</p>	

		<p>person who violates the act or who willfully or repeatedly violates any rule or regulation adopted under the act. The act prohibits a placement agency, as defined, from placing an individual in a licensed residential care facility for the elderly if the individual, because of a health condition, cannot be cared for within the limits of the license or requires inpatient care in a health facility. The act requires an employee of a placement agency who knows, or reasonably suspects, that a facility is improperly operating without a license to report the facility to the department, and requires the department to investigate those reports. The act further requires a placement agency to notify the appropriate licensing agency of any known or suspected incidents that would jeopardize the health or safety of residents in a facility. The act specifically makes a violation of these requirements a crime.</p> <p>This bill would recast the requirements on a placement agency and its employees to instead be requirements on a referral source, defined to mean a person or entity that provides a referral to a residential care facility for the elderly, as specified. The bill would prohibit a referral source from, among other things, referring a person to a residential care facility for the elderly in which the referral source has an ownership or management interest without a waiver. The bill would require a referral source, before sending a compensated referral to a residential care facility for the elderly, to provide a senior or their representative with specific written, electronic, or verbal disclosures that include, among others, the referral source's privacy policy. The bill would additionally require a compensated referral source to comply with additional requirements that</p>	<p>4/20/21 From committee: do pass and re-refer to Com on APPR. Re-referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>4/12/21 Set for Hearing on 4/20/21</p> <p>4/8/21 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com on Aging &amp; LTC. Re-referred to Com on Aging &amp; LTC</p> <p>4/8/21 Co-authors revised</p> <p>3/22/21 Set for hearing 4/7/21</p> <p>2/18/21 Referred to Coms on Human Services and Aging &amp; LTC</p> <p>2/10/21 From printer.</p> <p>2/9/21 Read first time. To print.</p>	
--	--	---	---	--

		<p>include, among others, maintaining a minimum amount of liability insurance coverage. The bill would impose criminal penalties and civil penalties for a violation of these provisions, as specified. By expanding the existing crime under the act and specifying new criminal penalties, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.</p> <p>(2) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.</p> <p>This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason</p>		
AB 574	Chen	<p><b>Guardians ad litem: mental illnesses</b></p> <p>Existing law authorizes a court, on its own motion or on request of certain specified persons, to appoint a guardian ad litem in a probate proceeding, as specified, to represent the interests of certain persons, including a minor or an incapacitated person. Existing law prohibits the appointment of a public guardian as a guardian ad litem in a probate proceeding, unless the court finds that no other qualified person is willing to act as a guardian ad litem.</p> <p>Existing law, the Lanterman-Petris-Short Act, provides for the involuntary commitment and treatment of a person who is a danger to themselves or others or who is gravely disabled. Existing law also provides for a conservator of the person or estate to be appointed for a person who is gravely disabled. Existing law, for the purposes of involuntary commitment and conservatorship, defines “gravely disabled,” among other things, as a condition in which a person, as a result of</p>	<p>2/18/21 Referred to Coms on Health and Jud</p> <p>2/12/21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 14</p> <p>2/11/21 Read first time. To print</p>	

		<p>a mental health disorder, is unable to provide for the person’s basic personal needs for food, clothing, or shelter.</p> <p>This bill would establish an additional procedure for the appointment of a guardian ad litem for a person who lacks the capacity to make rational informed decisions regarding medical care, mental health care, safety, hygiene, shelter, food, or clothing with a rational thought process due to a mental illness, defect, or deficiency. The bill would authorize certain persons to petition the court for the appointment of a guardian ad litem under these provisions, and would establish the procedures that would govern the filing of a petition, its notice provisions, and court procedures. Under certain circumstances, the bill would require the court to appoint the public defender or private counsel to represent a person who is the subject of a petition.</p>		
AB 580	Rodriguez	<p><b>Emergency Services: Vulnerable Populations</b></p> <p>Existing law, the California Emergency Services Act, establishes, within the office of the Governor, the Office of Emergency Services (OES) under the supervision of the Director of Emergency Services. Existing law makes OES responsible for addressing natural, technological, or manmade disasters and emergencies, including activities necessary to prevent, respond to, recover from, and mitigate the effects of emergencies and disasters to people and property.</p> <p>Existing law requires OES to establish a standardized emergency management system for use by all emergency response agencies. Existing law requires the</p>	<p>5/13/21 In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.</p> <p>5/13/21 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate. (Ayes 75. Noes 0.)</p> <p>5/6/21 Read second time. Ordered to Consent Calendar.</p> <p>5/5/21 From committee: Do</p>	<p><i>The bill points to 3 specific populations blind, hearing impaired and cognitive-sensory.</i></p>

		<p>director to appoint representatives of the disabled community to serve on pertinent committees related to that system, and to ensure that the needs of the disabled community are met within that system by ensuring certain committee recommendations include the needs of people with disabilities.</p> <p>This bill instead would require the director to appoint representatives of the access and functional needs <del>population</del> <i>population, provided a majority of appointees are from specified groups</i>, to serve on those committees and to ensure the needs of that population are met within that system.</p> <p>Under existing law, political subdivisions, as defined, have full power during a local emergency to provide mutual aid to any affected area in accordance with local ordinances, resolutions, emergency plans, or agreements. Existing law defines “emergency plan” for these purposes to mean official and approved documents that describe the principles and methods to be applied in carrying out emergency operations or rendering mutual aid during emergencies. Existing law requires a county, upon the next update to its emergency plan, to integrate access and functional needs into its emergency plan and to include representatives from the access and functional needs population, as defined, regarding that integration.</p> <p>This bill would require a county to send a copy of its emergency plan to OES on or before March 1, 2022, and upon any update to the plan after that date. By creating a new duty for counties, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. The bill would require OES, in consultation with representatives of people with a variety of access and</p>	<p>pass. To consent calendar.</p> <p>4/29/21 set for Hearing on 5/5/21</p> <p>4/20/21 From committee: do pass. To consent calendar</p> <p>4/13/21 Set for Hearing on 4/19/21</p> <p>4/13/21 Re-referred to Com on EM</p> <p>4/12/21 From committee chair with author’s amendments: Amend and re-refer to Com on EM. Read second time and amended.</p> <p>4/6/21 Re-referred to Com on EM</p> <p>4/5/21 from committee chair with author’s amendments. Amend, and re-refer to Com on EM. Read second time and amended.</p> <p>2/23/21 Set for hearing on 4/5/21</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

		<p>functional needs, to review the emergency plan of each county to determine whether the plans are consistent with certain best practices and guidance, as specified. The bill would require OES to report to the Legislature and to post on its internet website the results of its reviews. The bill would require OES to provide technical assistance to a county in developing and revising its emergency plan to address the issues that the office identified in its review.</p> <p>Existing law, on or before July 1, 2019, requires OES, in consultation with specified groups and entities, including the disability community, to develop guidelines for alerting and warning the public of an emergency, as specified, and to provide each city and county with a copy of the guidelines. Existing law requires OES, within 6 months of making those guidelines available and at least annually, to develop an alert and warning training that includes information regarding certain emergency alert systems and the alert and warning guidelines.</p> <p>This bill, instead, would require OES to develop those guidelines on or before July 1, 2022, would include the access and functional needs <del>community</del> <i>community,, as provided</i>, instead of the disability community in the list of groups that OES is required to consult, and would require OES to develop the alert and warning training with involvement of representatives of the access and functional needs community.</p> <p>Existing law requires OES, in cooperation with involved state and local agencies, to complete an after-action report within 180 days after each declared</p>	<p>2/18/21 Referred to Com on E.M.</p> <p>2/12/21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 14</p> <p>2/11/21 Read first time. To print.</p>	
--	--	---	--	--

		<p>disaster that reviews public safety response and disaster recovery activities.</p> <p>This bill would require OES to include conclusions and recommendations based on findings in the report, and to disseminate annually guidance that summarizes those conclusions and recommendations.</p> <p>The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.</p> <p>This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.</p>		
AB 596	Nguyen	<p><b>Appointed legal counsel</b></p> <p>The Guardianship-Conservatorship Law authorizes the court to appoint private legal counsel for a ward, a proposed ward, a conservatee, or a proposed conservatee in any proceeding under its provisions if the court determines the person is not otherwise represented by legal counsel and that the appointment would be helpful to the resolution of the matter or is necessary to protect the person's interests. The law requires the court to appoint the public defender or private counsel to represent the interest of a conservatee, proposed conservatee, or person alleged to lack legal capacity for assistance in particular proceedings that include, among others, proceedings to</p>	<p>2/18/21 Referred to Com on Jud</p> <p>2/12/21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 14</p> <p>2/11/21 Read first time. To print.</p>	

		<p>establish a conservatorship or to remove the conservator.</p> <p>This bill would require an attorney, who is appointed under these provisions and determines that a conservatee or proposed conservatee is unable to communicate, to report the nature of that inability to the court, and would require the court, upon a determination of the inability to communicate, to discharge the appointed attorney and appoint a guardian ad litem. The bill would specifically require an attorney who is appointed under these provisions to represent a conservatee, a proposed conservatee, or person alleged to lack legal capacity to act as an advocate for the client, and would prohibit the attorney from substituting their own judgment for that of the client's expressed interests.</p>		
AB 636	Maienschein	<p><b>Financial Abuse of Elder or Dependent Adults</b></p> <p>Existing law, the Elder Abuse and Dependent Adult Civil Protection Act, establishes procedures and requirements for the reporting, investigation, and prosecution of elder and dependent adult abuse. Existing law makes specified reports, including reports of known or suspected financial abuse of an elder or dependent adult, confidential. Existing law requires information relevant to the incident of elder or dependent adult abuse to be given to specified investigators, including investigators from an adult protective services agency, a local law enforcement agency, and the probate court.</p> <p>This bill would also authorize information relevant to the incident of elder or dependent adult abuse to be given</p>	<p>5/12/21 Referred to Coms. on PUB. S. and JUD.</p> <p>4/12/21 In Senate. Read first time. To Com on Rules for assignment</p> <p>4/12/21 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to Senate.</p> <p>4/7/21 From committee: Do pass</p>	

		<p>to a federal law enforcement agency charged with the investigation of elder or dependent adult abuse or to a local code enforcement agency for the sole purpose of investigating a property where the health and safety of an elder or dependent adult resident is at risk.</p>	<p>2/25/21 Referred to Com on Aging &amp; LTC</p> <p>2/13/21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 15</p> <p>2/12/21 Read first time. To print.</p>	
AB 665	Garcia	<p><b>RCFE: Basic Services: Internet Access</b></p> <p><del>Existing law provides for the licensure and regulation of residential care facilities for the elderly by the State Department of Social Services. A violation of these provisions is a misdemeanor. Existing law requires a licensed residential care facility for the elderly to provide specified basic services, including, but not limited to, care and supervision, and helping residents gain access to appropriate supportive services.</del></p> <p><i>The California Residential Care Facilities for the Elderly Act (act) requires the State Department of Social Services to license, inspect, and regulate residential care facilities for the elderly and imposes criminal penalties on a person who violates the act or who willfully or repeatedly violates any rule or regulation adopted under the act. The act enumerates specific rights and liberties for residents that are to be posted inside the facility and personally provided to each resident. These rights include, among others, being granted a reasonable level of personal privacy in accommodations, medical treatment, personal care and assistance, visits, communications, telephone</i></p>	<p>5/13/21/ Set for Hearing on 5/20/21</p> <p>4/29/21 Set for Hearing on 5/5/21</p> <p>4/22/21 From committee: do pass and re-refer to Com on APPR with recommendation: to consent calendar. Re-referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>4/13/21 Re-referred to Com on Human Services</p> <p>4/12/21 Set for hearing on 4/21/21.</p> <p>4/12/21 From committee chair with author's amendments: Amend and re-</p>	<p><i>Changed from a service to a right; does this get us where we want to go? Further discussion planned for June meeting.</i></p>

		<p><i>conversations, use of the internet, and meetings of resident and family groups.</i></p> <p>This bill would add to <del>basic services required for a licensed residential care facility for the elderly, by requiring a facility that has internet service for business administration or entertainment purposes to provide at least one common internet access tool with microphone and camera functions, to enable residents to participate in virtual visits or meetings in a manner that allows for discussion of personal or confidential information.</del> <i>those rights the right to have available at least one internet access tool with videoconference technology as part of the equipment and supplies provided to meet the requirements of the facility's activity program, consistent with a specified regulation.</i> Because a violation of the bill would be a misdemeanor, the bill would create a state-mandated local program.</p> <p>The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.</p> <p>This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.</p>	<p>refer to Com on Human Services. Read second time and amended.</p> <p>2/25/21 Referred to Com on Human services</p> <p>2/13/21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 15.</p> <p>2/12/21 Read first time. To print.</p>	
<p><b>AB 695</b></p>	<p>Arambula</p>	<p><b>Elder and Dependent Adults</b></p> <p><del>Existing law authorizes, in certain circumstances, an elder or dependent adult to be taken into temporary emergency protective custody.</del></p> <p><del>For the purposes of the above-described provisions, existing law defines an elder</del></p>	<p>5/13/21/ Set for Hearing on 5/20/21</p> <p>5/6/21 Set for Hearing on 5/12/21</p>	

		<p><del>as a person who is 65 years of age or older and a dependent adult as an adult between 18 and 64 years of age who has specific limitations.</del></p> <p><del>This bill would instead define an elder as a person who is 60 years of age or older and a dependent adult as a person who is between 18 and 59 years of age and has those specific limitations. The bill would also specifically identify that a person in that age range with traumatic brain injuries or cognitive impairments is a dependent adult. By requiring counties to provide services under the above described provisions for additional individuals, and by expanding the scope of a crime under the Elder Abuse and Dependent Adult Civil Protection Act, this bill would impose a state mandated local program.</del></p> <p><i>The bill would authorize a local adult protective services agency, local law enforcement agency, and the Department of Business Oversight to disclose to a mandated reporter of suspected financial abuse of an elder or dependent adult or their employer, upon request, the general status or final disposition of any investigation that arose from a report made by that mandated reporter of suspected financial abuse of an elder or dependent adult.</i></p> <p>(2) Existing law establishes the Home Safe Program, which requires the State Department of Social Services to award grants to counties, tribes, or groups of counties or tribes, that provide services to elder and dependent adults who experience abuse, neglect, and exploitation and otherwise meet the eligibility criteria for adult protective services, for the purpose of providing</p>	<p>4/20/21 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 0.) (April 20). Re-referred to Com. on APPR</p> <p>4/12/21 Re-referred to Com on Aging &amp; LTC</p> <p>4/8/21 From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com on Aging &amp; LTC. Read second time and amended.</p> <p>3/10/21 In committee: Set first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.</p> <p>3/2/21 Set for hearing on 4/6/21 9am</p> <p>2/25/21 Referred to Com on Aging &amp; LTC</p> <p>2/17/21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 19.</p>	
--	--	---	--	--

		<p>prescribed housing-related supports to eligible individuals.</p> <p>This bill would expand the list of housing-related supports and services to include services to support housing transitions.</p> <p>Existing law requires counties that receive grants under the Home Safe Program to provide matching funds.</p> <p>This bill would provide that, on and after the effective date of the bill, grantees are not required to match any funding provided that is above the base level of funding provided in the Budget Act of 2020.</p> <p>(3) The Elder Abuse and Dependent Adult Civil Protection Act requires, as part of the procedures described in paragraph (1), each <del>county to establish</del> <i>an county's</i> adult protective services program <del>that includes</del> <i>to include</i> specific policies and procedures, including provisions for emergency shelter or in-home protection. <i>Existing law applies the definitions of the act on provisions relating to the county adult protective services program. For purposes of the act, existing law defines an "elder" as a person who is 65 years of age or older and a "dependent adult" as an adult between 18 and 64 years of age who has specific limitations.</i></p> <p>This bill would <del>also</del> <i>additionally</i> require the policies and procedures to include provisions for homeless prevention and longer term housing assistance and support through the Home Safe Program. The bill would authorize a county that receives grant funds under the Home Safe Program to, as part of providing case management services to elder or dependent adults who require adult</p>	<p>2/16/21 Read first time. To print.</p>	
--	--	---	---	--

		<p>protective services, provide housing assistance to those who are homeless or at risk of becoming homeless. If an elder or dependent adult comes to the attention of adult protective services because they are homeless, and an investigation indicates that they are homeless because they have a serious mental illness or substance use disorder, the bill would require the county to refer the adult to the appropriate state or local agency to receive services and supports. By imposing additional duties on counties in the administration of their adult protective services programs, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.</p> <p><i>For the purposes of investigating or providing services under an adult protective services program, this bill would instead define an “elder” as a person who is 60 years of age or older and a “dependent adult” as a person who is between 18 and 59 years of age, inclusive, and has those specific limitations. The bill would also specifically identify that a person in that age range with traumatic brain injuries or cognitive impairments is a dependent adult. By requiring counties to provide services to additional individuals, and by expanding the scope of a crime under the Elder Abuse and Dependent Adult Civil Protection Act, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.</i></p> <p><del>The</del></p> <p><i>This</i> bill would require the department to convene a workgroup to develop recommendations to create or establish a statewide adult protective services case management or data warehouse system. The bill would require the department to submit the recommendations to the Legislature by November 1, 2022.</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

		<p><del>The bill would establish the Adult Protective Services FAST/Forensic Center Grant Program, to be administered by the department, for the purpose of awarding grants to counties to create, enhance, and maintain a FAST or forensic center. The bill would define, for these purposes, a FAST as a team that handles cases involving financial abuse and a forensic center as a cross-disciplinary group of professionals who collectively review, make recommendations, and provide assistance on the most complex cases of elder and dependent adult abuse and neglect.</del></p> <p>(4) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.</p> <p>This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for specified reasons.</p>		
AB 774	Voepel	<p><b>Senior Legal Services</b></p> <p>Existing law requires the California Department of Aging to establish a task force of certain members to study and make recommendations to the Legislature on the improvement of legal services delivery to senior citizens in California by exploring specified matters, including ways to ensure uniformity in the provision of legal services throughout the state and the possible establishment of a statewide legal hotline for seniors. Existing law requires the task force to report and make its recommendations to the</p>	<p>3/2/21 Set for hearing on 4/6/21 9am</p> <p>2/25/21 Referred to Com on Aging &amp; LTC</p> <p>2/17/21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 19.</p>	

		<p>Legislature on or before September 1, 2002.</p> <p>This bill would require the department to establish a similar task force to assess the implementation of the recommendations made pursuant to the above-mentioned provisions, make additional recommendations by exploring the same matters explored by the initial task force, and to report the assessment and make its recommendations to the Legislature on or before September 1, 2023.</p>	<p>2/16/21 Read first time. To print.</p>	
AB 848	Calderon	<p><b>Medi-Cal: Long Term Care: Personal Needs Allowance</b></p> <p>Increases the personal needs allowance from \$35 to \$80 per month and annually adjusts the allowance by the same percentage as the Consumer Price Index.</p>	<p>5/13/21/ Set for Hearing on 5/20/21</p> <p>4/22/21 Set for hearing on 4/28/21</p> <p>3/24/21 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com on APPR with recommendation: To consent calendar. Re-referred to Com on Health</p> <p>3/15/21 Set for Hearing 3/23/21</p> <p>2/25/21 Referred to Com on Health</p> <p>2/18/21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 20.</p>	

			2/17/21 Read first time. To print.	
AB 849	Reyes	<p><b>Skilled Nursing Facilities: Intermediate Care Facilities: Liability</b></p> <p>Existing law authorizes a current or former resident or patient of a skilled nursing facility or intermediate care facility, as defined, to bring a civil action against the licensee of a facility who violates any of specified rights of the resident or patient or any other right provided for by federal or state law or regulation. Existing law makes the licensee liable for up to \$500.</p> <p>This bill would make the licensee liable for up to \$500 per violation.</p>	<p>5/12/21 Referred to Com. on JUD.</p> <p>4/27/21 In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.</p> <p>4/26/21 Read third time. Ordered to Senate</p> <p>4/14/21 Read second time. Ordered to third reading</p>	
AB 911/SB 515	Nazarian/Pan	<p><del>Long-Term Services and Supports</del>  <i>Long-Term Services and Supports (LTSS) Benefit Task Force</i></p> <p>Existing law, contingent upon the appropriation of funds for that purpose by the Legislature, establishes the Aging and Disability Resource Connection (ADRC) program, to be administered by the California Department of Aging, to provide information to consumers and their families on available long-term services and supports (LTSS) programs and to assist older adults, caregivers, and persons with disabilities in accessing LTSS programs at the local level.</p> <p><del>By executive order, the Governor has ordered that a master plan for aging be developed and issued to serve as a blueprint to implement strategies and partnerships that promote healthy aging</del></p>	<p>4/13/21 Re-referred to Com on Aging &amp; LTC</p> <p>4/12/21 From committee chair with author's amendments. Amend and re-refer to Com on Aging &amp; LTC. Read second time and amended.</p> <p>3/2/21 Set for hearing on 4/6/21 9am</p> <p>2/25/21 Referred to Coms on Aging &amp; LTC</p>	

		<p><del>and prepare the state for upcoming demographic changes. The executive order requires the Secretary of California Health and Human Services to convene a Master Plan for Aging Stakeholder Advisory Committee, which includes a Long Term Care Subcommittee.</del></p> <p><del>Existing law requires the secretary, in coordination with the Director of the California Department of Aging, to lead the development and implementation of the master plan established pursuant to that executive order. Existing law requires the secretary and the director, with the assistance of the workgroup, to work with specified agencies, as needed, to identify policies, efficiencies, and strategies necessary to implement the master plan. Existing law requires the workgroup to solicit input and gather information to assist with the implementation of the master plan.</del></p> <p><del>This bill would establish the California Long Term Services and Supports Benefits Board (LTSS Board), to be composed of 10 specified members, including, among others, the Treasurer as chair, the Secretary of California Health and Human Services as vice chair, and 3 members to be appointed by the Governor. The bill would require the LTSS Board to manage and invest revenue deposited in the California Long Term Services and Supports Benefits Trust Fund (LTSS Trust), which the bill would create in the State Treasury, to, upon appropriation, finance long term services and supports for eligible individuals. The bill would require the Long Term Supports and Services Subcommittee of the Master Plan on Aging to provide ongoing advice and recommendations to the board.</del></p>	<p>and Human Services</p> <p>2/18/21 From priner. May be heard in committee March 20</p> <p>2/17/21 Read first time. To print.</p>	
--	--	---	--	--

		<p><i>This bill would require the department to establish an LTSS Benefit Task Force, or utilize an existing board, commission, committee, or task force, to focus on LTSS benefit needs in the State of California. The bill would require the department to report to the Legislature by July 1, 2023, on the specified findings and recommendations of the LTSS Benefit Task Force.</i></p>		
AB 1083	Nazarian	<p><b>Senior Affordable Housing: Nursing Pilot Program</b></p> <p>This bill would require the Department of Aging to establish and administer the Housing Plus Services Nursing Pilot Program in the Counties of Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, Sacramento, and Sonoma. The program would provide grant funds to qualified nonprofit organizations that specialize in resident services for the purposes of hiring one full-time registered nurse to work at 3 senior citizen housing developments in each county to provide health education, navigation, coaching, and care to residents. The bill would require the department to submit a report to specified legislative committees and state agencies on or before January 1, 2026, and would repeal the program as of January 1, 2027.</p>	<p>5/13/21/ Set for Hearing on 5/20/21</p> <p>4/22/21 Set for hearing on 4/28/21</p> <p>4/20/21 From committee: do pass and re-refer to Com on APPR with recommendation: to consent calendar. Re-referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>3/9/21 Set for Hearing on 4/20/21</p> <p>3/4/21 Referred to Com on Aging and LTC</p> <p>2/19/21 From printer. May be heard in</p>	

			committee March 21  2/18/21 Read first time. To print	
AB 1176	Garcia & Santiago	<p><b>Communications: Universal Broadband service: California Connect Fund</b></p> <p>This bill would establish the California Connect Fund in the State Treasury, subject to the conditions and restrictions applicable to the existing universal service funds described above. The bill would, until January 1, 2031, require the commission to develop, implement, and administer the California Connect Program to ensure that high-speed broadband service is available to every household in the state at affordable rates. The bill would require the commission, on or before January 1, 2023, to adopt rules to implement the program, including rules that establish eligibility criteria for the program and the amount of, and requirements for, subsidies under the program. The bill would require the commission to perform outreach to increase program <del>participation</del> <i>participation, to coordinate with relevant state agencies and departments to increase program participation and increase the efficacy of enrollment</i>, and to collect data on existing affordable internet service plans that may meet program criteria. The bill would require the commission to annually report to the Legislature on the status of the program, including its success and any recommendations for modifications to the program, as provided.</p> <p><i>Existing law, the Emergency Telephone Users Surcharge Act, imposes a surcharge on each telecommunications</i></p>	<p>5/12/21 In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.</p> <p>5/6/21 Set for Hearing on 5/12/21</p> <p>4/29/21 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com on APPR. Re-referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>4/20/21 Re-referred to Com on C &amp; C</p> <p>4/19/21 From committee chair with author's amendments: Amend and re-refer to Com on C &amp; C. Read second time and amended</p> <p>4/14/21 In committee: set first hearing. Hearing canceled at request of author</p>	

		<p><i>access line for each month or part thereof for which a service user subscribes with a service supplier in an amount determined by the Office of Emergency Services, as specified.</i></p> <p><i>This bill would require the commission to ensure that each service supplier remits to the commission revenues from a monthly surcharge not to exceed \$0.23 per month per access line for deposit into the California Connect Fund.</i></p> <p><i>This bill would include a change in state statute that would result in a taxpayer paying a higher tax within the meaning of Section 3 of Article XIII A of the California Constitution, and thus would require for passage the approval of <sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub> of the membership of each house of the Legislature.</i></p> <p>Under existing law, a violation of the Public Utilities Act or any order, decision, rule, direction, demand, or requirement of the commission is a crime.</p> <p>Because a violation of a commission action implementing this bill's requirements would be a crime, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.</p> <p>The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.</p> <p>This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.</p>	<p>4/8/21 Set for hearing on 4/28/21</p> <p>3/4/21 Referred to Com on C &amp; C</p> <p>2/19/21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 21</p> <p>2/18/21 Read first time. To print</p>	

<p>AB 1234</p>	<p>Arambula</p>	<p><b>Physician Orders for Life Sustaining Treatment Forms: Registry</b></p> <p>Existing law defines a request regarding resuscitative measures as a written document, signed by an individual with capacity, or a legally recognized health care decisionmaker, and the individual’s physician, directing a health care provider regarding resuscitative measures. Existing law defines a Physician Orders for Life Sustaining Treatment form, which is commonly referred to as a POLST form, and provides that a request regarding resuscitative measures includes a POLST form. Existing law requires that a POLST form and the medical intervention and procedures offered by the form be explained by a health care provider. Existing law distinguishes a request regarding resuscitative measures from an advance health care directive. <i>Under existing law, an advance care directive or substantially similar instrument executed in another state or jurisdiction is valid and enforceable to the same extent as an advance care directive validly executed in this state, as specified, and authorizes a physician or other health care provider to presume that an advance care directive or similar document is valid in the absence of knowledge to the contrary.</i></p> <p>This bill would <i>establish similar provisions relating to the validity and enforceability of POLST forms and would</i> allow an electronic signature to be used for the purposes of an advance health care directive and POLST form.</p> <p>The bill would enact the California POLST eRegistry Act, which would require the California Health and Human Services Agency to create a statewide electronic POLST registry system for the purpose of collecting a patient’s POLST</p>	<p>4/12/21 Re-referred to Com on Health.</p> <p>4/8/21 From committee with author’s amendments. Amen and re-refer to Com on Health. Read second time and amended</p> <p>3/4/21 Referred to Coms on Health and Jud.</p> <p>2/22/21 Read first time.</p> <p>2/20/21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 22.</p> <p>2/19/21 Introduced. To print.</p>	<p><i>Other states Advanced Health Care Directives are valid in CA</i></p>
----------------	-----------------	---	--	--

		<p>information received from a health care provider or the provider’s designee and disseminating the information therein to an authorized user, as defined. The bill would require the agency to promulgate regulations necessary for the operation of the POLST eRegistry, as specified, and set timelines for implementation. The bill would allow an electronic representation of a POLST form and the electronic communication of the information contained in a POLST form to have the same legal standing as a paper hardcopy of a POLST form.</p>		
<p>AB 1243</p>	<p>Blanca Rubio</p>	<p><b>Protective Orders: Elder and dependent Abuse</b></p> <p>Existing law authorizes an elder or dependent adult who has suffered abuse, or another person who is legally authorized to seek that relief on behalf of that elder or dependent adult, to seek a protective order and governs the procedures for issuing that order. Existing law defines protective order for purposes of these provisions to include an order enjoining a party from specified forms of abuse, including attacking, stalking, threatening, or harassing an elder or dependent adult, an order excluding a party from the elder or dependent adult’s residence, or an order enjoining a party from specified behavior that the court determines is necessary.</p> <p>This bill would include within the definition of protective order an order enjoining a party from isolating an elder or dependent adult. The bill would require certain requirements to be met for that order to be issued, including a showing by a preponderance of the evidence that the respondent’s past act or acts of isolation of the elder or dependent adult prevented</p>	<p>5/4/21 From committee: Do pass</p> <p>4/29/21 Re-referred to Com on Jud</p> <p>4/27/21 Re-referred to Com on Jud</p> <p>4/26/21 From committee chair, with author’s amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com on Jud. Read second time and amended</p> <p>4/20/21 Set for hearing on 5/4/21</p> <p>4/7/21 Set for hearing on 4/20/21</p>	

		<p>contact with the interested party and that the elder or dependent adult desires contact with the interested party. The bill would authorize the order to specify the actions to be enjoined, including enjoining the respondent from preventing an interested party from in-person or remote online visits, including telephone and online contact, with the elder or dependent adult. The bill would also include within the definition of protective order after notice and a hearing, a finding that specific debts were incurred as the result of financial abuse of the elder or dependent adult, as specified. <del>The bill would require the Judicial Council to revise or promulgate forms as necessary to effectuate those changes on or before January 1, 2023.</del></p>	<p>3/4/21 Referred to Com Jud.</p> <p>2/22/21 Read first time.</p> <p>2/20/21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 22.</p> <p>2/19/21 Introduced. To print.</p>	
AB 1300	Voepel	<p><b>Residential Care Facilities for the Elderly: Electronic Monitoring</b></p> <p>This bill would enact the Electronic Monitoring in Residential Care Facilities for the Elderly Act to authorize the use of electronic monitoring devices either inside a resident’s room by a resident or in certain areas of a facility by the facility under specified conditions. For the use of a personal electronic monitoring device inside a resident’s room by a resident, the bill would require, among other things, the resident or the resident’s representative, as defined, to provide the facility with a completed notification and consent form, as specified, that includes the consent of the resident’s roommate, if any. The bill would also require the resident or the resident’s representative to post a sign at the entrance to the resident’s room stating that the room is monitored electronically. For the use of a facility</p>	<p>3/22/21 Set for hearing 4/7/21</p> <p>3/4/21 Referred to Coms on Human Services and P. and C.P.</p> <p>2/22/21 Read first time.</p> <p>2/20/21 From printer. May be heard in committee March 22.</p> <p>2/19/21 Introduced. To print.</p>	

		<p>electronic monitoring device, the bill would require the facility to, among other things, post signage at all entrances and exits that provides notice of electronic monitoring, archive the electronic monitoring digital data for 365 days, and provide the department access to the data upon 24 hours' notice. By expanding the duties of licensed facilities under the act with regard to authorizing residents and facilities to conduct electronic monitoring under these conditions, the bill would expand an existing crime, thereby imposing a state-mandated local program.</p> <p>The bill would make it a misdemeanor to knowingly hamper, obstruct, tamper with, or destroy a personal electronic monitoring device or a facility electronic monitoring device or the recordings made therefrom, except as provided. The bill would make it a felony to knowingly hamper, obstruct, tamper with, or destroy a personal electronic monitoring device or a facility electronic monitoring device or the recordings made therefrom in the commission of, or in the attempt to conceal the commission of, a felony. By creating new crimes, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.</p>		
SB 48	Limon	<p><b>Dementia and Alzheimer's disease.</b></p> <p>(1) Existing law, the Medical Practice Act, provides for the licensure and regulation of physicians and surgeons by the Medical Board of California. Under the act, a physician and surgeon is required to demonstrate satisfaction of continuing education requirements, including, for certain general internists and family physicians, prescribed hours in a course in the field of geriatric medicine or the care of older patients.</p>	<p>3/22/21 From committee: be ordered to second reading pursuant to Senate Rule 28.8</p> <p>3/12/21 Set for hearing March 22</p> <p>3/9/21 Read second time and amended. Re-</p>	<p>CSL Support</p> <p>MPA Goal 2</p>

		<p>This bill would require all general internists and family physicians to complete at least 4 hours of mandatory continuing education on the special care needs of patients with dementia.</p> <p>(2) Existing law, the Physician Assistant Practice Act, authorizes the Physician Assistant Board (PAB) to require a licensee to complete not more than 50 hours of continuing education every 2 years as a condition of license renewal.</p> <p>This bill would require the PAB to adopt regulations to require each person renewing their license, as a condition of license renewal, to complete at least <del>10</del> 4 hours of continuing education on the special care needs of patients with dementia.</p> <p><del>(3) Existing law, the Clinical Social Worker Practice Act, provides for the licensure and regulation of clinical social workers by the Board of Behavioral Sciences (BBS). The act prohibits renewal of a license unless the applicant certifies to the BBS that the license has completed not less than 36 hours of approved continuing education in, or relevant to, the field of social work in the preceding 2 years.</del></p> <p><del>This bill would require the BBS, by regulation, to require the continuing education requirement under the act to include at least 4 hours of continuing education on the special care needs of patients with dementia. By expanding the scope of the crime of perjury, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.</del></p> <p><del>(4)</del></p> <p>(3) Existing law authorizes the establishment of diagnostic and treatment</p>	<p>referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>3/9/21 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>3/8/21 From committee: Do pass as amended &amp; re-refer to Com. On APPR</p> <p>1/28/21 Referred to Com. On B, P &amp; ED</p> <p>12/8/21 From printer</p> <p>12/07/20 Introduced. Read first time.</p>	
--	--	---	---	--

		<p>centers for Alzheimer’s disease. Existing law also establishes a grant program for the purpose of research into the causes, treatment, cure, strategies for coping with, prevention, incidence, and prevalence of Alzheimer’s disease and related disorders.</p> <p>This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation to ensure that individuals living with dementia and Alzheimer’s disease receive a timely diagnosis through, among other things, the training of medical providers and leveraging available federal resources.</p> <p><del>(5)The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.</del></p> <p><del>This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.</del></p>		
SB 56	Durazo	<p><b>Medi-Cal: eligibility.</b></p> <p>Existing law provides for the Medi-Cal program, which is administered by the State Department of Health Care Services, under which qualified low-income individuals receive health care services. The Medi-Cal program is, in part, governed and funded by federal Medicaid program provisions. The federal Medicaid program provisions prohibit payment to a state for medical assistance furnished to an alien who is not lawfully admitted for permanent residence or otherwise permanently residing in the United States under color of law.</p>	<p>5/13/21/ Set for Hearing on 5/20/21</p> <p>3/11/21 set for Hearing on March 22</p> <p>3/11/21 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com on APPR. Re-referred to Com. On APPR</p> <p>3/1/21 From committee with</p>	<p>CSL (support)</p> <p>MPA Goal 2</p>

		<p>Existing law requires individuals under 19 years of age enrolled in restricted-scope Medi-Cal at the time the Director of Health Care Services makes a determination that systems have been programmed for implementation of these provisions to be enrolled in the full scope of Medi-Cal benefits, if otherwise eligible, and extends eligibility for full-scope Medi-Cal benefits to individuals under 25 years of age, and who are otherwise eligible for those benefits but for their immigration status. Existing law makes the effective date of enrollment for those individuals the same day that systems are operational to begin processing new applications pursuant to the director's determination, and requires the department to maximize federal financial participation for purposes of implementing the requirements. Existing law provides that Medi-Cal benefits for individuals who are 65 years of age or older, and who do not have satisfactory immigration statuses or are unable to establish satisfactory immigration statuses, will be prioritized in the Budget Act for the upcoming fiscal year if the Department of Finance projects a positive ending balance in the Special Fund for Economic Uncertainties for the upcoming fiscal year and each of the ensuing 3 fiscal years that exceeds the cost of providing those individuals full scope Medi-Cal benefits.</p> <p>This bill would, subject to an appropriation by the Legislature, and effective July 1, 2022, extend eligibility for full-scope Medi-Cal benefits to individuals who are 65 years of age or older, and who are otherwise eligible for those benefits but for their immigration status. The bill would delete provisions delaying implementation until the director makes the determination described above. The bill would require</p>	<p>author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com on Health</p> <p>2/17/21 Set for hearing March 10</p> <p>1/28/21 Referred to Com. On Health</p> <p>12/8/20 From Printer.</p> <p>12/7/20 Introduced. Read first time. To Com. On RLS for assignment.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

		<p>the department to seek federal approvals to obtain federal financial participation to implement these requirements. Because counties are required to make Medi-Cal eligibility determinations and this bill would expand Medi-Cal eligibility, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.</p> <p>The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.</p> <p>This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.</p>		
SB 91	Com Budget & Fiscal Review	Extend eviction moratorium until June 30, 2021. Provide rental assistance for tenants facing financial hardship due to COVID.	<p>1/29/21 Chaptered by Secretary of State</p> <p>1/29/21 Approved by Governor</p> <p>1/28/21 Enrolled and presented to Governor</p> <p>1/28/21 Assembly amendments concurred</p> <p>1/28/21 In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending</p>	MPA Goal 1

SB 107	Weiner	<p><b>CalFresh.</b></p> <p>Existing federal law provides for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), known in California as CalFresh, under which supplemental nutrition assistance benefits allocated to the state by the federal government are distributed to eligible individuals by each county. Existing law requires the State Department of Social Services, in conjunction with the State Department of Public Health and appropriate stakeholders, to develop and submit to the Legislature a community outreach and education campaign to help families learn about, and apply for, CalFresh.</p> <p>This bill would require the State Department of Social Services, in order to increase client access and retention within CalFresh, to participate in the Elderly Simplified Application Project, a demonstration project operated by the United States Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service. The bill would require the department, on or before January 1, 2023, to develop a CalFresh user-centered application for seniors 60 years of age or older and for people with disabilities who are eligible to be enrolled in the Elderly Simplified Application Project.</p> <p>Existing law requires each county welfare department, to the extent permitted by federal law, to exempt a household from complying with face-to-face interview requirements for the purpose of determining eligibility at initial application and recertification.</p> <p>This bill would, to the extent permitted by federal law, give an individual the option to apply, report, and recertify for</p>	<p>3/22/21 From committee: be ordered to second reading pursuant to Senate rule 28.8</p> <p>3/12/21 Set for hearing on 3/22/21</p> <p>3/10/21 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com on APPR. Re-referred to APPR</p> <p>2/23/21 Set for Hearing march 9</p> <p>2/18/21 From committee with authors amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Co on Human Services</p> <p>1/28/21 referred to Com. On Human S.</p> <p>1/11/21 Read first time.</p> <p>1/5/21 Introduced. To Com. On RLS for assignment. To print.</p>	<p>CSL (support)</p> <p>MPA Goal 5</p>

		<p>CalFresh in person, by mail, online, or by telephone, and permit an individual to complete the interview requirement and client signature by telephone. The bill would authorize counties to implement any method of telephonic or electronic signature that is supported by county business practice and technology. The bill would require the department, with the input of stakeholders, to develop and execute a plan of support for counties that have not already implemented a telephone-based application and renewal process and to provide technical assistance and resources. The bill would require the application process to satisfy specified criteria, including simple, user-friendly language and instructions. The bill would require certain counties to comply with these provisions beginning on or before January 1, 2023, and require the remaining counties to comply with the provisions beginning on or before January 1, 2024. By imposing new duties on counties, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.</p> <p>The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.</p> <p>This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.</p>		
SB 221	Weiner	<p><b>Health Care Coverage: Timely access to Care</b></p> <p>This bill would codify the regulations adopted by the Department of Managed Health Care and the Department of</p>	<p>5/13/21/ Set for Hearing on 5/20/21</p> <p>3/22/21 Read second time and</p>	<p>CSL Support</p> <p>(Self Sufficiency</p>

		<p>Insurance to provide timely access standards for health care service plans and insurers for nonemergency health care services. The bill would require both a health care service plan and a health insurer, including a Medi-Cal Managed Care Plan, to ensure that appointments with nonphysician mental health and substance use disorder providers are subject to the timely access requirements. The bill would additionally require a health care service plan and a health insurer, including a Medi-Cal Managed Care Plan, to ensure that an enrollee or insured that is undergoing a course of treatment for an ongoing mental health or substance use disorder condition is able to get a followup appointment with a nonphysician mental health care or substance use disorder provider within 10 business days of the prior appointment. <i>The bill would require that a referral to a specialist by another provider meet the timely access standards.</i> If a health care service plan is operating in a service area that has a shortage of providers and the plan is not able to meet the geographic and timely access standards for providing mental health or substance use disorder services with an in-network provider, the bill would require the plan, including a Medi-Cal Managed Care Plan, to arrange coverage outside the plan's contracted network. By imposing new requirements on health care service plans, the willful violation of which would be a crime, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.</p> <p>The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.</p>	<p>amended. Re-referred to Com on Appr</p> <p>3/18/21 From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR</p> <p>3/9/21 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com on Health.</p> <p>3/3/21 Set for hearing on 3/17/21 1pm</p> <p>1/28/21 Referred to Com on Health</p> <p>1/14/21 From printer. May be acted upon or after Feb. 13</p> <p>1/13/21 Introduced. Read first time. To Com on RLS for assignment. To print.</p>	<p>tracking)</p>
--	--	--	--	------------------

		This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.		
SB 256	Pan	<p><b>California Advancing and Innovating Medi-Cal</b></p> <p>Existing law establishes the Medi-Cal program, which is administered by the State Department of Health Care Services, under which qualified low-income individuals receive health care services. The Medi-Cal program is, in part, governed by, and funded pursuant to, federal Medicaid program provisions. Under existing law, health care services are provided under the Medi-Cal program pursuant to a schedule of benefits, and those benefits are provided to beneficiaries through various health care delivery systems, including fee-for-service and managed care.</p> <p>Existing law authorizes the department to enter into various types of contracts for the provision of services to beneficiaries, including contracts with a Medi-Cal managed care plan. Existing law imposes various requirements on Medi-Cal managed care plan contractors, and requires the department to pay capitation rates to health plans participating in the Medi-Cal managed care program using actuarial methods. Existing law authorizes the department to establish, and requires the department to utilize, health-plan- and county-specific rates for specified Medi-Cal managed care plan contracts, and requires those developed rates to include identified information, such as health-plan-specific encounter and claims data.</p> <p>Existing law, the Medi-Cal 2020 Demonstration Project Act, requires the</p>	<p>5/11/21 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com on APPR 5/6/21 Set for Hearing on 5/17/21 4/29/21 Set for Hearing 5/10/21 4/29/21 From committee: do pass and refer to Com on APPR. Re-referred to Com on APPR 4/15/21 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com on Health 4/14/21 Set for hearing on 4/28/21 2/22/21 Joint Rule 55 suspended. 2/22/21 Art. IV. Sec 8(a) of the Constitution dispensed with. 2/3/21 Referred to Com on Health 1/27/21 From printer. May be</p>	<p>(Self Sufficiency tracking)</p> <p>MPA Goal 2</p> <p><i>Joint Leg. raised the question if San Francisco partnering with CAL AIM; per Cindy Kaufman</i></p> <p><i>"For SF, DPH will play a huge role in CalAIM. DAS, however does offer CLF which addresses a component of what CalAIM is trying to do." There are conversations on how things might connect.</i></p>

		<p>department to implement specified components of a Medi-Cal demonstration project, including the Global Payment Program, the Whole Person Care pilot program, and the Dental Transformation Initiative, consistent with the Special Terms and Conditions approved by the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Pursuant to existing law, the department has created a multiyear initiative, the California Advancing and Innovating Medi-Cal (CalAIM) initiative, for purposes of building upon the outcomes of various Medi-Cal pilots and demonstration projects, including the Medi-Cal 2020 demonstration project.</p> <p>Existing federal law authorizes specified managed care entities that participate in a state's Medicaid program to cover, for enrollees, services or settings that are in lieu of services and settings otherwise covered under a state plan.</p> <p>This bill would establish the CalAIM initiative, and would require the implementation of CalAIM to support stated goals of identifying and managing the risk and needs of Medi-Cal beneficiaries, transitioning and transforming the Medi-Cal program to a more consistent and seamless system, and improving quality outcomes. The bill would require the department to seek federal approval for the CalAIM initiative, and would condition its implementation on receipt of any necessary federal approvals and availability of federal financial participation. To implement the CalAIM initiative, the bill would authorize the department to enter into exclusive or nonexclusive contracts, or amend existing contracts, and to implement these</p>	acted upon on or after Feb 26.	
--	--	--	--------------------------------	--

		<p>provisions by various means, including provider bulletins.</p> <p>For purposes of the CalAIM initiative, this bill would additionally authorize the department to standardize those populations that are subject to mandatory enrollment in a Medi-Cal managed care plan across aid code groups and Medi-Cal managed care models. Commencing January 1, 2023, the bill would require the department to implement the Population Health Management Program under the Medi-Cal managed care delivery system to improve health outcomes, care coordination, and efficiency through application of standardized health management requirements. The bill would require the department to require each Medi-Cal managed care plan to develop and maintain a beneficiary-centered population health management program that meets specified standards, including identifying and mitigating social determinants of health and reducing health disparities or inequities. The bill would require the department to consult with specified stakeholders, including the State Department of Public Health, to establish requirements for the population health management program, as specified, and, beginning January 1, 2024, would require the department to annually develop and issue a public report, which includes prescribed information, on this program.</p> <p>Under the CalAIM initiative, this bill would require the department to implement an enhanced care management (<i>ECM</i>) benefit designed to address the clinical and nonclinical needs on a whole-person-care basis for certain target populations of Medi-Cal beneficiaries enrolled in Medi-Cal managed care plans, as specified. The bill would require Medi-Cal managed care</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

		<p>plans to consult and collaborate with county mental health plans for the delivery of <del>enhanced care management</del> <i>ECM</i> services for beneficiaries with certain health conditions, including serious mental illness, to maximize federal reimbursement and minimize duplication of <del>services, and</del> <i>services</i>. <i>The bill would require the department to require those plans to report specified information related to <del>this benefit</del> the ECM benefit and would require the department to annually publicly report on the utilization of ECM in a manner that allows for an analysis of demographic populations, as specified.</i></p> <p>As part of the CalAIM initiative, and commencing January 1, 2022, this bill would require the department to authorize Medi-Cal managed care plans to elect to cover those services or settings approved by the department as cost effective and medically appropriate in the comprehensive risk contract that are in lieu of applicable Medi-Cal state plan services. The bill would provide that in lieu of services include specified services, such as housing transition navigation services, recuperative care, and asthma remediation. The bill would require the department to establish metrics for, and conduct an annual evaluation of, the utilization and effectiveness of in lieu of services, and to publicly report, as prescribed, the evaluation and conduct the evaluation in a specified manner.</p> <p>Commencing January 1, 2022, this bill would require the <del>department</del> <i>department, subject to appropriation</i>, to make incentive payments available to qualifying Medi-Cal managed care plans that meet predefined milestones and metrics associated with implementation of</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

		<p>applicable components of the CalAIM initiative, and to consult with specified entities, including Medi-Cal managed care plans, to establish the methodology pursuant to prescribed standards.</p> <p>This bill would authorize the department to establish capitation rates to contracted health plans on a regional basis in lieu of health plan and county-specific rates, and would require the department to consult with affected entities and individuals, <del>included</del> <i>including</i> consumer representatives. Before the implementation of a regional-based capitation rate, the bill would require the department to report to the Legislature on specified matters, including how these rates are to be established.</p> <p>This bill would make its provisions severable and would make other legislative findings and declarations.</p>		
<b>SB 258</b>	Laird	<p><b>Aging</b></p> <p>Existing law, the Mello-Granlund Older Californians Act, establishes the California Department of Aging and sets forth its mission to provide leadership to the area agencies on aging in developing systems of home- and community-based services that maintain individuals in their own homes or least restrictive homelike environments. Existing law requires the department, in allocating specified state and federal funding to area agencies on aging, to ensure that priority consideration is given to criteria that reflect the state’s intent to target services to those in greatest economic or social need. Existing law defines “greatest social need” to mean the need caused by noneconomic factors, including physical and mental disabilities, that restrict an</p>	<p>5/6/21 In Assembly. Read first time. Held at Desk  5/6/21 Read third time. Passed.  Ordered to Assembly  4/26/21 Ordered to second reading  4/26/21 Read third time and amended  4/20/21 Read second time.  Ordered to third reading.  4/19/21 From committee: be ordered to second reading pursuant</p>	<p>CWDA  MPA Goal 3</p>

		<p>individual's ability to perform normal daily tasks or that threaten the individual's capacity to live independently.</p> <p>This bill would revise this definition to include human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) status as a specified noneconomic factor.</p>	to Senate Rule 28.8	
SB 281	Dodd	<p><b>Medi-Cal: California Community Transitions Program</b></p> <p>Existing law establishes the Medi-Cal program, which is administered by the State Department of Health Care Services, under which qualified low-income individuals receive health care services. The Medi-Cal program is, in part, governed and funded by federal Medicaid program provisions. Existing federal law establishes the Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration, which is designed to achieve various objectives with respect to institutional and home- and community-based long-term care services provided under state Medicaid programs. Under the Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration, an eligible individual is required to meet prescribed qualifications, including that they have resided in an inpatient facility for at least 90 consecutive days.</p> <p>Existing law requires the department to provide services consistent with the Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration for transitioning eligible individuals out of an inpatient facility who have not resided in the facility for at least 90 days, and to cease providing those services on January 1, 2024. Existing law repeals these provisions on January 1, 2025.</p>	<p>5/10/21 In Assembly. Read first time. Held at desk.</p> <p>5/4/21 From committee: Be ordered to second reading pursuant to Senate Rule 28.8 and ordered to consent calendar.</p> <p>4/20/21 Set for hearing on 5/3/21</p> <p>3/18/21 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.</p> <p>3/17/21 From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR with recommendation: To consent calendar.</p> <p>3/3/21 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. On Health</p>	<p>(Self Sufficiency tracking)</p> <p>MPA Goal 2</p>

		<p>This bill would instead require the department to provide those services for individuals who have not resided in the facility for at least 60 days, and would make conforming changes. The bill would extend the provision of those services to January 1, 2029, and would extend the repeal date of those provisions to January 1, 2030.</p> <p>This bill would require the department to implement and administer the California Community Transitions program to provide services for qualified beneficiaries who have resided in the facility for 60 days or longer. The bill would require a lead organization to provide services under the program. The bill would require program services to include prescribed services, such as transition coordination services. The bill would authorize a Medi-Cal beneficiary to participate in this program if the Medi-Cal beneficiary meets certain requirements, and would require eligible Medi-Cal beneficiaries to continue to receive program services once they have transitioned into a qualified residence. The bill would require the department to use federal funds, which are made available through the Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration, to implement this program, and to administer the program in a manner that attempts to maximize federal financial participation if that program is not reauthorized or if there are insufficient funds.</p>	<p>3/2/21 Set for hearing on 3/17/21 1pm 2/22/21 Joint Rule 55 suspended. 2/22/21 Art. IV. Sec 8(a) of the Constitution dispensed with. 2/10/21 Referred to Com on Health 2/2/21 From printer. May be acted upon or after March 4</p>	
<b>SB 380</b>	Eggman with Cooper, Wood, Aguiar-Cury, Bonta, Frazier,	<p><b>End of Life</b></p> <p>Existing law, the End of Life Option Act, until January 1, 2026, authorizes an adult who meets certain qualifications, and who has been determined by their attending physician to be suffering from a terminal disease, as defined, to make a</p>	<p>5/6/21 Set for Hearing on 5/17/21 4/29/21 Set for Hearing on 5/10/21</p>	<p><i>Added co-authors Laird, Wiener, Hertzburger; eliminated</i></p>

	<p>Garcia, Rivas</p>	<p>request for an aid-in-dying drug for the purpose of ending their life. Existing law establishes the procedures for making these requests, including that 2 oral requests be made a minimum of 15 days apart, specified forms to request an aid-in-dying drug be submitted, under specified circumstances, and a final attestation be completed. Existing law requires specified information to be documented in the individual's medical record, including, among other things, all oral and written requests for an aid-in-dying drug.</p> <p>This bill would allow for an individual to qualify for aid-in-dying medication by making 2 oral requests a minimum of 48 hours apart. The bill would eliminate the requirement that an individual who is prescribed and ingests aid-in-dying medication make a final attestation. The bill would require that the date of all oral and written requests be documented in an individual's medical record and would require that upon a transfer of care, that record be provided to the qualified individual. The bill would extend the operation of the act indefinitely, thereby imposing a state-mandated local program by extending the operation of crimes for specified violations of the act.</p> <p>Existing law makes participation in activities authorized pursuant to the act voluntary, and makes individual health care providers immune from liability for refusing to engage in activities authorized pursuant to its provisions, including providing information about the act or referring an individual to a provider who prescribes aid-in-dying medication.</p> <p>This bill would require a health care provider who is unable or unwilling to participate in activities authorized by the act to inform the individual seeking an</p>	<p>4/12/21 Set for hearing 4/20/21.</p> <p>4/22/21 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>4/21/21 From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com on APPR</p> <p>4/5/21 Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com on Jud3/25/21 From committee: Do pass as amended and re-refer to Com on Jud</p> <p>3/16/21 Set for Hearing March 24</p> <p>2/22/21 Joint Rule 55 suspended. (Ayes 32. Noes 4)</p> <p>2/22/21 Art. IV Sec. 8(a) of the Constitution dispensed with</p> <p>2/17/21 Referred to Coms on Health and Jud</p> <p>2/11/21 From printer. May be</p>	<p><i>the sunset provision</i></p>
--	--------------------------	---	--	------------------------------------

		<p>aid-in-dying medication that they do not participate, document the date of the individual's request and the provider's notice of their objection, and transfer their relevant medical record upon request.</p> <p>Existing law authorizes a health care provider to prohibit its employees, independent contractors, or other persons or entities, including other health care providers, from participating in activities under the act, including acting as a consulting physician, while on the premises owned or under the management or direct control of that prohibiting health care provider, or while acting within the course and scope of any employment by, or contract with, the prohibiting health care provider.</p> <p>This bill would instead authorize health care facilities to prohibit employees and contractors, as specified, from prescribing aid-in-dying drugs while on the facility premises or in the course of their employment. The bill would prohibit a health care provider or health care facility from engaging in false, misleading, or deceptive practices relating to their willingness to qualify an individual or provide a prescription for an aid-in-dying medication to a qualified individual. The bill would require a health care facility to post its current policy regarding medical aid in dying on its internet website.</p> <p>The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.</p>	<p>acted upon or after March 13</p> <p>2/10/21 Introduced. Read first time. To Com on RLS for assignment. To print</p>	
--	--	---	--	--

		This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.		
SB 441	Hurtado	<p><b>Health Care Workforce Training Programs: Geriatric Medicine</b></p> <p><i>Existing law establishes the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development in the California Health and Human Services Agency, which oversees various scholarship programs to improve access to health care, including the Steven M. Thompson Physician Corps Loan Repayment Program, which provides for the repayment of educational loans obtained by a physician and surgeon who practices in a medically underserved area of the state.</i></p> <p><i>Existing law requires the office to maintain a Health Professions Career Opportunity Program tasked with supporting and encouraging minority health professionals in training to practice in health professional shortage areas of California, among other duties.</i></p> <p><i>Existing law provides that in administering the National Health Service Corps State Loan Repayment Program in accordance with federal law and regulations, the office is required to strive, whenever feasible, to equitably distribute loan repayment awards between eligible urban and rural program sites, after taking into account the availability of health care services in the communities to be served and the number of individuals to be served in each program site.</i></p> <p><i>This bill would require the office to include students and professionals with</i></p>	<p>5/10/21 In Assembly. Read first time. Held at desk.</p> <p>5/10/21 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to Assembly.</p> <p>5/5/21 Read second time. Ordered to consent calendar.</p> <p>5/4/21 From committee: Be ordered to second reading pursuant to Senate Rule 28.8 and ordered to consent calendar.</p> <p>4/20/21 Set for Hearing 5/3/21</p> <p>4/8/21 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com on Appr with recommendation: To consent calendar. Re-referred to Com on Appr</p> <p>3/22/21 From committee with author's</p>	

		<p><i>training in geriatrics in administering the Health Professions Career Opportunity Program, National Health Service Corps State Loan Repayment Program, and the Steven M. Thompson Physician Corps Loan Repayment Program. The bill would also state the intent of the Legislature to provide geriatricians practicing in underserved areas access to existing loan repayment programs offered by the state, encouraging more geriatric care providers to practice in federally designated health provider shortage areas and addressing the state's shortage of geriatricians.</i></p> <p><del>Existing law, the Song-Brown Health Care Workforce Training Act, provides for specified training programs for certain health care workers, including family physicians, registered nurses, nurse practitioners, and physician assistants. Existing law establishes a state medical contract program with accredited medical schools, hospitals, and other programs and institutions to increase the number of students and residents receiving quality education and training in specified primary care specialties and maximize the delivery of primary care and family physician services to underserved areas of the state.</del></p> <p><del>This bill would add geriatric medicine to the list of specified primary care specialties under the program. The bill would add training in geriatric medicine to the definition of a "family physician" as that term is used in the act.</del></p>	<p>amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com on Health</p> <p>3/19/21 Set for Hearing on 4/7/21</p> <p>2/25/21 Referred to Com on Health</p> <p>2/22/21 Joint Rule 55 suspended</p> <p>2/22/21 Art. IV. Sec. 8(a) of the Constitution dispensed with</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

SB 460	Pan	<p><b>SB 460, as amended, Pan. <del>Office of the Patient—Representative.</del> <i>Long-term health facilities: patient representatives.</i></b></p> <p>This bill would create the Office of the Patient Representative in the Department of Aging to train, certify, provide, and oversee patient representatives to protect the rights of nursing home residents, as specified. The bill would, among other things, require the office to establish appropriate eligibility, training, certification, and continuing education requirements for patient representatives and to convene a group of stakeholders to advise the office regarding the eligibility requirements. The bill would, among other things, require the office to collect and analyze data, including the number of residents represented, the number of interdisciplinary team meetings attended, and the number of cases in which judicial review was sought and to present that data in an annual public report delivered to the Legislature and posted on the office’s internet website. The bill would require patient representatives to perform various duties including reviewing the determinations that the resident lacks <del>decisionmaking—capacity</del> <i>capacity, as defined, to make decisions</i> and no surrogate decisionmaker is available, as specified.</p> <p><i>Existing law requires the attending physician and surgeon of a resident in a skilled nursing facility or intermediate care facility that prescribes or orders a medical intervention of a resident that requires the informed consent of a resident who lacks capacity to provide that consent and who does not have a person with legal authority to make those decision on behalf of the resident to inform the skilled nursing facility or intermediate care facility. Existing law requires the facility to conduct an</i></p>	<p>5/13/21/ Set for Hearing on 5/20/21</p> <p>4/20/21 Set for hearing on 5/3/21</p> <p>4/14/21 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR with recommendation: To consent calendar. (Ayes 11. Noes 0.) (April 14). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.</p> <p>4/8/21 Set for hearing on 4/14/21</p> <p>4/6/21 Set for Hearing 4/21/21</p> <p>3/24/21 From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com on Health with recommendation: To consent calendar. Re-referred to Com on Health</p> <p>3/16/21 From committee with author’s amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to</p>	
--------	-----	--	---	--

		<p><i>interdisciplinary team review of the prescribed medical intervention prior to the administration of the medical intervention, subject to specified proceedings. Existing law authorizes a medical intervention prior to the facility convening an interdisciplinary team review in the case of an emergency, under specified circumstances. Existing law imposes civil penalties for a violation of these provisions.</i></p> <p><i>This bill would require the physician and surgeon to document the determination that the resident lacks capacity, as defined, in the resident's medical record, and would require the skilled nursing facility or intermediate care facility to identify, or use due diligence to search for, a surrogate decisionmaker, as defined. The bill would require, among other things, if the resident lacks capacity and there is no surrogate decisionmaker, the skilled nursing facility or intermediate care facility to provide written notice to the resident and to the Office of the Patient Representative, as specified. The bill would require a copy of the notice to be included in the resident's records and to include specified information, including notice that the resident has the right to a patient representative. The bill would require the Office of the Patient Representative to designate someone to serve as the patient's representative if no family member or friend is available to serve in that capacity, and would prohibit a patient representative from being, among others, an employee or former employee of the facility, as specified.</i></p>	<p>Com on Human Services</p> <p>3/9/21 Set for Hearing March 23</p> <p>2/25/21 Referral to Com on Jud rescinded because of the limitations placed on committee hearings due to ongoing health and safety risks of COVID-19</p> <p>2/25/21 Referred to Coms on Human Services and Health and Jud</p> <p>2/22/21 Joint Rule 55 suspended</p> <p>2/22/21 Art. IV. Sec. 8(a) of the Constitution dispensed with</p>	
<b>SB 515</b>	Pan	<b>Long-Term services and Supports</b>	4/12/21 From committee with	<i>Dual bill with</i>

		<p>Existing law, contingent upon the appropriation of funds for that purpose by the Legislature, establishes the Aging and Disability Resource Connection (ADRC) program, to be administered by the California Department of Aging, to provide information to consumers and their families on available long-term services and supports (LTSS) programs and to assist older adults, caregivers, and persons with disabilities in accessing LTSS programs at the local level.</p> <p><del>By executive order, the Governor has ordered that a master plan for aging be developed and issued to serve as a blueprint to implement strategies and partnerships that promote healthy aging and prepare the state for upcoming demographic changes. The executive order requires the Secretary of the California Health and Human Services Agency to convene a Master Plan for Aging Stakeholder Advisory Committee, which includes a Long Term Care Subcommittee.</del></p> <p><del>Existing law requires the secretary, in coordination with the Director of the California Department of Aging, to lead the development and implementation of the master plan established pursuant to that executive order. Existing law requires the secretary and the director, with the assistance of the workgroup, to work with specified agencies, as needed, to identify policies, efficiencies, and strategies necessary to implement the master plan. Existing law requires the workgroup to solicit input and gather information to assist with the implementation of the master plan.</del></p> <p><del>This bill would establish the California Long Term Services and Supports Benefits Board (LTSS Board), to be composed of 10 specified members,</del></p>	<p>author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com on Human services.</p> <p>4/5/21 set for hearing 4/20/21</p> <p>3/11/21 Set for Hearing April 6</p> <p>3/4/21 March 9 set for first hearing canceled at request of author</p> <p>3/1/21 Set for hearing March 9</p> <p>2/25/21 Referred to Com on Human services</p> <p>2/22/21 Joint Rule 55 suspended</p>	<p><i>SB 911</i></p>
--	--	--	--	----------------------

		<p><del>including, among others, the Treasurer as chair, the Secretary of California Health and Human Services as vice chair, and 3 members to be appointed by the Governor. The bill would require the LTSS Board to manage and invest revenue deposited in the California Long Term Services and Supports Benefits Trust Fund (LTSS Trust), which the bill would create in the State Treasury, to, upon appropriation, finance long term services and supports for eligible individuals. The bill would require the Long Term Supports and Services Subcommittee of the Master Plan on Aging to provide ongoing advice and recommendations to the board.</del></p> <p><i>This bill would require the department to establish an LTSS Benefit Task Force, or utilize an existing board, commission, committee, or task force, to focus on LTSS benefit needs in the State of California. The bill would require the department to report to the Legislature by July 1, 2023, on the specified findings and recommendations of the LTSS Benefit Task Force.</i></p>		
<p><b>SB 591</b></p>		<p><b>Senior Citizens: Intergenerational Housing Developments</b></p> <p>Existing law requires the covenants, conditions, and restrictions or other documents or written policy of a senior citizen housing development to set forth the limitations on occupancy, residency, or use on the basis of age. Existing law requires that the limitations on age require, at a minimum, that the persons commencing any occupancy of a dwelling unit include a senior citizen who intends to reside in the unit as their primary residence on a permanent basis. Existing law defines “senior citizen</p>	<p>5/10/21 In Assembly. Read first time. Held at desk.</p> <p>5/10/21 Read third time. Passed. Ordered to Assembly.</p> <p>5/3/21 Read second time and amended. Ordered to consent calendar</p>	<p>MPA Goal 1</p>

		<p>housing development” for these purposes as a residential development for senior citizens that has at least 35 dwelling units. Existing law defines “qualifying resident” or “senior citizen” to mean a person 62 years of age or older, or 55 years of age or older in a senior citizen housing development.</p> <p>This bill would authorize the establishment of an intergenerational housing development that includes senior citizens along with caregivers and transition age youth, if specified conditions are satisfied. The bill would require that the covenants, conditions, and restrictions and other documents or written policy for the development set forth the limitations on occupancy, residency, or use. The bill would prescribe definitions for “senior citizen” and “transition age youth” for these purposes. The bill would require at least <del>80 percent</del> 80% of the occupied dwelling units in an intergenerational housing development to be occupied by at least one senior citizen, as specified, and up to <del>20 percent</del> 20% of the occupied dwelling units in the development to be occupied by at least one caregiver or transition age youth, as specified. The bill would require the development to be affordable to lower income households. The bill would prescribe an optional process to be applied if a unit ceases to house a caregiver or transition age youth. The bill would prohibit the eviction or lease termination of a family with children in order to comply with the senior citizen occupancy requirement described above. The bill would make a conforming change in provisions regarding subdivided lands. <i>The bill would create a state policy supporting intergenerational housing for senior citizens, caregivers, and transition age youth and would permit developers that</i></p>	<p>4/29/21 From committee: Do pass as amended. Ordered to consent calendar.</p> <p>4/22/21 Set for hearing on 4/29/21</p> <p>4/21/21 From committee: do pass and re-refer to Comon Housing with recommendation: To consent calendar. Re-referred to Com on Housing</p> <p>4/12/21 From committee with author’s amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com on Jud</p> <p>4/6/21 Set for Hearing 4/20/21</p> <p>4/5/21 From committee with author’s amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com on Jud</p> <p>4/5/21 Set for hearing 4/13/21</p>	
--	--	---	---	--

		<i>have certain funds or tax credits designated for affordable rental housing to restrict occupancy to senior citizens, caregivers, and transition age youth, as specified.</i>		
SB 648	Hurato	<p><b>Care Facilities</b></p> <p>Existing law, the California Community Care Facilities Act, provides for the licensing and regulation of community care facilities, as defined, by the State Department of Social Services. Existing regulation includes an adult residential facility, as defined, as a community care facility for those purposes. Existing law also provides for the licensure and regulation of residential care facilities for the elderly by the department. A violation of those provisions is a crime.</p> <p>Existing law also establishes the In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) program, administered by the department and counties, under which qualified aged, blind, and disabled persons are provided with services in order to permit them to remain in their own homes. Existing law states the intent of the Legislature to authorize an assessment on home care services, including IHSS.</p> <p><del>This bill would provide that an adult residential facility or a residential care facility for the elderly may receive Enriched Care Adult Residential Facility pilot program payments, as specified. The bill would provide for the termination of the pilot program on June 30, 2026, as specified. The bill would, among other things, require the county to distribute a stipend of \$1,000 per resident, per month, to be used for auxiliary services, as defined, when it determines that the facility meets specified criteria.</del> <i>create</i></p>	<p>5/11/21 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.</p> <p>5/7/21 Set for hearing May 17.</p> <p>5/6/21 May 10 Hearing cancelled at request of author</p> <p>5/6/21 Set for Hearing on 5/17/21</p> <p>4/29/21 Set for Hearing on 5/10/21</p> <p>4/21/21 From committee: do pass and re-refer to Com on APPR with recommendation: to consent calendar. Re-referred to Com on APPR</p> <p>4/14/21 From committee with author's</p>	<p>MPA Goal 2</p> <p><i>Would allow IHSS into Acute Care facilities; important for small bed—6 bed-facilities</i></p>

		<p><i>the Enriched Care Adult Residential Facility pilot program, to be administered by the department. The bill would require the department to distribute up to 4,000 monthly stipends of \$1,000 per resident to facilities that meet specified criteria. The bill would require the department to, among other things, establish guidelines for the distribution of the stipends, as specified.</i> The bill would require facilities that receive the stipend to report to the <del>county</del> department specified information, including <del>the description of the auxiliary services provided. a brief description of how the stipend was used to benefit residents.</del> The bill would require the <del>State Department of Social Services</del> department to evaluate the program, as specified, and to report that information to the relevant policy committees. The bill would require the <del>State Department of Social Services</del> department to implement these provisions in order to maximize federal funding and would authorize the department to implement the provisions through <i>an</i> all-county letter or similar instruction. <del>By imposing additional administrative duties on local officials administering the IHSS program and by</del> <i>The bill would provide for the termination of the pilot program on June 30, 2026, as specified.</i> By expanding the scope of an existing crime, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.</p> <p><del>The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.</del></p> <p><del>This bill would provide that with regard to certain mandates no reimbursement is</del></p>	<p>amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com on Human services  4/13/3/21 Referred to Com on Human services 2/22/21 Joint Rule 55 suspended.  2/22/21 Art, IV. Sec. 8(a) of the Constitution dispensed with.  2/22/21 Read for the first time  2/22/21 From printer. May be acted upon or after March 24.</p>	
--	--	---	--	--

		<p><del>required by this act for a specified reason.</del></p> <p><del>With regard to any other mandates, this bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs so mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.</del></p> <p><i>The bill would appropriate \$150,000,000 from the General Fund to the department to provide stipends and cover administrative costs, as specified.</i></p> <p><i>The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.</i></p> <p><i>This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.</i></p>		
SB 675	Bogh	<p><b>Property Taxation: Automated Clearing House Payments</b></p> <p>Existing law requires real property taxes to be paid in 2 installments and requires the tax collector to collect those taxes. Existing law authorizes the tax collector, with the approval of the county board of supervisors, to accept partial payment of real property taxes from the taxpayer in the case of a deficiency in the payment of those taxes.</p> <p>This bill would authorize a county board of supervisors to adopt a resolution or ordinance to implement a monthly property tax payment</p>	<p>5/11/21 Read second time. Ordered to third reading.</p> <p>5/10/21 From committee. Do pass.</p> <p>4/29/21 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com on Gov and F</p>	<p>MPA Goal 1</p> <p><i>Opposed by California Taxcollectors Assn.</i></p>

		<p>program, which would authorize a <del>homeowner</del> <i>qualified taxpayer, as defined</i>, to pay, in monthly installments, their real property taxes on their principal residence, as defined. <i>The bill would authorize the ordinance or resolution implementing the program to set forth specific procedures for purposes of determining delinquency and default, as specified. The bill would require the monthly tax payment to be allocated among the county, cities, special districts, and school entities in proportion to the amounts of ad valorem property tax revenue otherwise allocated among these entities. The bill would exclude from its provisions property for which an escrow account is established, as provided.</i></p>	<p>4/27/21 Set for hearing on May 6</p> <p>3/18/21 Re-referred to Com on Gov and F</p> <p>3/10/21 From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com on RLS</p> <p>3/3/21 Referred to Com on RLS</p> <p>2/22/21 Joint Rule 55 suspended</p> <p>2/22/21 Art. IV. Sec. 8(a) of the Constitution dispensed with</p> <p>2/22/21 Read first time</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

Discussion:

- SB 515 – Is there any new requirements for the demographics for the task force?
  - None given, but will follow up
  
- Will there be a team to help senior citizens get out during the day?
  - There is no legislation on that
  - Community Living Campaign is working with neighborhood Connector Programs to get people out
  - In District 4 the Connector Program has a pop-up on the 2<sup>nd</sup> Thursday of every month where seniors can come and see demonstrations, online writing programs, meet and greets
  - Community Living Campaign has a monthly calendar that can be made available to Commission Members that describes the various activities happening around the city

## CASE REPORT/Greg Moore

CASE Report to Commission on Aging Wednesday, June 2, 2021

### **New DAS Executive Director:**

- CASE looks forward to working with Executive Director Dearman. We are pleased that she will be joining us for our June membership meeting.

### **CASE Writing Project:**

- As an update to previous reports, we have hired a Writing Project Coordinator, who will manage all aspects of the project.
- We sent out a questionnaire to our membership to solicit the agencies and programs that we will profile as part of this project.
- We will be reflecting on the actual service model changes during the pandemic, focusing primarily on lessons learned and how the service pivot or change in service model will inform each of the profiled agency's practices going forward.
- CASE thanks SF reserve and DAS for their partnership in helping us get to this point with this project.

### **CASE Budget Asks:**

#### 2020 Campaign to Bridge the Growing Digital Divide in SF

**BACKGROUND:** San Francisco has the highest proportion of seniors and adults with disabilities in California. Of those 75 and older, 36% live alone and are facing health and other mobility problems. COVID-19 "stay at home" orders have left many older adults, people with disabilities, and those with compromised health are virtually trapped in their homes. With libraries, and senior and community centers closed, they are unable to participate in regular activities or access essential services. Their situation is further aggravated by the fact that more than 50 percent lack home internet access, along with the devices, digital literacy, and support they need to participate in the digital world. All of this has led to an increase in social isolation and loneliness, both of which lead directly to poor health and wellness outcomes.

**PROBLEM:** COVID-19 has revealed the true extent of the digital divide and intensified its impact on vulnerable populations. While San Francisco is a world leader in technological innovation and the City has committed millions to internal tech needs, 100,000 people, including a sizeable segment of its older adults and residents living with a disability, remain disconnected from the digital world! Simply put, too many people don't have reliable internet access, a device, or the digital literacy and support they need to get information, have routine online medical visits, pay bills and taxes, connect meaningfully with family and friends, or participate in any activities made available through the internet.

**SOLUTION:** Keep seniors and people with disabilities in San Francisco connected by:

Expanding access to affordable broadband internet

Expanding access to free & low-cost devices

Expanding training and technical support

Connected living contributes to living longer and healthier lives. Computer training & internet access won't end isolation but they provide a vital link to family, friends, social services, medical

services, religious communities, and virtual programming at senior centers like exercise classes and online social activities. Paired with assistive technologies, digital access can help SF residents overcome a vast range of physical and mental disabilities in their own homes and communities, safely, while staying connected to the rest of the world.

### **Behavioral Health Ask:**

San Francisco hosts close to 40 Community Service Centers throughout the City. These programs offer opportunities to reduce social isolation, remain active, learn about other community and social services, and access nutrition programs. These centers operate in all 11 Districts and many provide culturally and linguistically appropriate services to meet the needs of San Francisco's diverse older adults. Staff at these programs provide valuable services to attendees, but do not have the clinical background to serve the increasing behavioral health needs of attendees. These challenges can make it difficult for Community Services to appropriately serve attendees and create barriers to connecting them to additional resources. Respondents in the Dignity Fund Community Needs Assessment suggested that incorporating behavioral health support in programs that seniors and adults with disabilities already use would be an effective way to meet this need. Models currently exist within the City that provide clinical consultation to staff who work directly with seniors and adults with disabilities to ensure that they are able to provide effective and quality services; Community Service staff and their clients would benefit from these supports being extended.

### **Budget Request:**

\$500,000 for two psychologists that can rotate through Community Service Centers twice monthly, for 4 hours each visit, providing on-site support and training for staff around challenging behavioral health needs of participants, and wellbeing of staff.

### **CASE Programming:**

- Psychological aspects of re-entry and re-engagement - Patrick Arbore
- HIV and the senior population - possible panelists: Jesus Guillem and someone from the AIDS Foundation
- SDA's Ableism training
- POSSIBLE training if we need to fill in programming- elder abuse prevention

### **Service Provider Workgroup ideas as presented to the OAC for the \$3M Dignity Fund Funding that we hope will be restored:**

- Recovering from effects of the pandemic, such as severe Social Isolation: (maybe taking a model from what's happening with school age children, i.e. Community Hubs); an awareness campaign, mental health services -- not medical, but counseling and coaching, like a senior peer counseling model.
- Programming to directly address the fear of coming out of our homes, trusting the vaccines, etc.
- Subsidies to keep people from dire poverty and consequences, such as being evicted.
- Digital Divide: Service providers having to develop ongoing hybrid models (virtual and in-person); Support for access, devices, and training -- needs more funding.
- Transportation, addressing new needs because of the pandemic, whether it's from reduction of public transportation lines, or other.

#### NOMINATING COMMITTEE REPORT/Nelson Lum

- On June 1, 2021 at 15:00 hours the Nominating Committee of DAS met
- After establishing a quorum, the committee interviewed candidate Ms. Tia Small for a position on the DAS Advisory Council
- The committee voted to recommend that DAS Commission approve the appointment of Ms. Tia Small to represent the Commission on the DAS Advisory Council

No public comment.

A motion to appoint Tia Small to the Advisory Committee.

The motion was unanimously approved.

Absent: Commissioner Barbara Sklar, Vice President Janet Y. Spears

#### GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT

- None.

#### OLD BUSINESS

- None.

#### NEW BUSINESS

ITEMS A through F ARE ACTION ITEMS AND REQUIRE A VOTE BY THE COMMISSION.

- A. Review and approval of California Department of Aging – Health Insurance Counseling and Advocacy Program (HICAP) contract HI-2122-06, associated budget, and all subsequent amendments (Staff: Ofelia Trevino will present the item).

#### PUBLIC COMMENT

No public comment.

A motion to approve.

The motion was unanimously approved.

Absent: Commissioner Barbara Sklar, Vice President Janet Y. Spears

- B. Requesting authorization to enter into a new grant agreement with Felton Institute for the provision of the Long Term Care Ombudsman Program during the period of July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2025; in the amount of \$2,888,000 plus a 10% contingency for a total amount not to exceed \$3,176,800. (Michael Zaugg will present the item).

Discussion:

- What type of outreach to residents, families, and friends is there to notify of services?

- Posters and flyers placed in facilities, web presence with social media, active in meeting with family councils and community groups to make people aware
- How was need for Cantonese and other languages determined and addressed?
  - After demographic review, noticed residents across Skilled Nursing Facilities have a high incidence of monolingual Cantonese-speaking population that needed to be addressed so funding was added for a dedicated staff position in that language
  - Determined by staff language capacity and targeted recruiting
- How much does Felton work with Project Openhouse on these issues around making sure that they are culturally competent for LGBT people in long-term care facilities, and do we collect data on SOGI through this particular grant or the program?
  - SOGI data is collected on this program.
  - Openhouse and the Ombudsman program are working together
  - A handbook along with a training was distributed widely on understanding and enforcing the LGBTQ Bill of Rights in Skilled Nursing Facilities

PUBLIC COMMENT

No public comment.

A motion to approve.

The motion was unanimously approved.

Absent: Commissioner Barbara Sklar, Vice President Janet Y. Spears

- C. Requesting authorization to enter into a grant agreement with Leah's Pantry for the provision of Citywide Nutrition Counseling and Education services during the period of July 1, 2021 through June, 30, 2025, in an amount of \$586,268 plus a 10% contingency for a total amount not to exceed \$644,895. (Lauren McCasland will present the item).

PUBLIC COMMENT

No public comment.

A motion to approve.

The motion was unanimously approved.

Absent: Commissioner Barbara Sklar, Vice President Janet Y. Spears

- D. Requesting authorization to enter into new grant agreements with Openhouse for the provision of Cultural Humility Training in Service to LGBTQ+ Older Adults and Younger Adults with Disabilities; during the period of July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2025; in the

amount of \$241,040 plus a 10% contingency for a total amount not to exceed of \$265,144. (Sara Hofverberg will present the item).

Discussion:

- Do you have younger LGBTQ people with disabilities as well as older LGBTQ people with disabilities actually doing the training?
  - Not in terms of conducting the training. However, in terms of content, Openhouse is very conscientious about intersectionality and adults with disabilities incorporating such content into every training.
  
- Any hiring preferences with Openhouse to Veterans?
  - Will follow up

PUBLIC COMMENT

No public comment.

A motion to approve.

The motion was unanimously approved.

Absent: Commissioner Barbara Sklar, Vice President Janet Y. Spears

E. Requesting authorization to modify the existing grant with Glide Foundation for the provision of the Free Meals program during the period of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2021; in an additional amount of \$115,000 plus a 10% contingency for a revised total not to exceed \$3,621,981. (Staff: Tiffany Kearney will present the item).

PUBLIC COMMENT

- Wesley Saver, Policy Manager for Glide
  - “On behalf of the organization, we’re certainly appreciative and in support of the modification to the existing grant for the provision of our daily free meals program and we respectfully request the Commission’s aye vote. At Glide, we believe that access to adequate, nutritious, and safe food is a fundamental human right. We operate this program in line with our belief in food for all, and that is no exceptions, no exclusions. So as such, we will not turn anyone away who is hungry. Our daily free meals program has no eligibility requirements and, as it’s been said, we provide 3 nutritious meals a day, 365 days a year, and we have done so for decades. Our site’s a HACCP compliant kitchen and it conforms to the California Retail Food Code, and we’ve developed a menu with a registered dietician to meet 100% of the daily dietary allowances. Prior to the pandemic, 1 in 4 San Franciscans, that’s nearly a quarter of a million people, needed food services and the economic consequences of Covid-19 have really only made it harder for our low-income families and individuals to meet their basic needs. For example, childhood hunger in California has now doubled. It is, currently, 1 in 3 households with children are good insecure. Unfortunately, in order to operate

our daily free meals program and meet the growing need of missing meals among our hungry neighbors and loved ones, the current funding model requires us to pursue additional private resources to cover nearly half the costs which are not funded by the DAS contract. So, while we are tremendously grateful and this will certainly make a difference, we do hope that consideration will be given further down the line to additional modifications so that we can sustainably combat food insecurity in the city along with the other food providers. Thank you very much and, again, we respectfully request your aye vote.”

A motion to approve.

The motion was unanimously approved.

Absent: Commissioner Barbara Sklar, Vice President Janet Y. Spears

F. Requesting authorization to modify the existing grant agreement with SELF HELP FOR THE ELDERLY for the provision of Peer Ambassador Program for older adults and/or adults with disabilities during the period of July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2023; in the additional amount of \$1,008,000 plus a 10% contingency for a total amount not to exceed of \$1,611,401. (Staff: Mike Zaugg will present the item).

Discussion:

- Is the amount of money being requested taken from the assessment by the individual organizations or have the organizations worked with you as a representative of the city to come up with these numbers?
  - It is a combination of both. The program was launched with Self Help for the Elderly to identify the size and need, and together they developed a proposal as to what kind of staffing levels would be needed to sustain a successful program. We then take a look at those numbers and those staffing levels to make sure they look correct and move forward based on available funding.
- Is the additional funding all for Escort or is it also for expansion of the Peer Ambassador Program?
  - The new funds are all for the Escort Program.
- Is the staffing for Escort coming from both Self Help and Volunteers who will be trained?
  - Yes.
- In terms of language capacity, do you have a sense of what language needs are and how it will be met?
  - We are still figuring that out. We know that there is extensive language capacity within Self Help for the Elderly including Cantonese, Mandarin, Toishanese, and others. The others are still to be determined. Many of these positions haven't been hired yet.

- Outreach - How are we getting this out to the community that this service is available?
  - Press conferences with the Mayor, news stories, flyers and digital media being circulated through networks.
  - 2 Gough - Integrated Intake Hub is serving as a resource to accept people for this program as well as provide this as a resource to people calling in seeking services.
  - Self Help's outreach including through their networks as well as the Escort's themselves.

## PUBLIC COMMENT

No public comment.

A motion to approve.

The motion was unanimously approved.

Absent: Commissioner Barbara Sklar, Vice President Janet Y. Spears

## Announcements

None.

## Adjournment

Meeting adjourned by President Knutzen.