CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY

promotes well-being and self-sufficiency among individuals, families and communities



Family & Children's Services and Child CareCommunity Budget Forum FY 2008-09December 19, 2007

Human Services Agency Program Goals

- Provide safety-net services to low-income persons unable to support themselves;
- Promote self-sufficiency among public assistance recipients, the working poor, seniors and the disabled; and
- Preserve and protect the well-being of families and children

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Budget Forum Objectives

- Provide an overview of the Family & Children's Services and Child Care programs
- Discuss local and state budget forecasts
- Work with HSA stakeholders and partners to develop budget priorities and obtain feedback on strategies to reduce Agency costs

San Francisco Family and Children Services



Achieving the Vision

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Resiliency

- Services are focused on enhancing resiliency and include:
 - » Caring
 - » High expectations
 - » **Opportunities to participate**
 - » Skills to cope







San Francisco Child Welfare Referral Statistics

- In 2006, San Francisco received 5,800 referrals.
- Eighty percent of the referrals were determined to be unfounded, inconclusive, or only required assessment.
- 19.3% of these referrals were substantiated.
- The 5 most common allegation types for all referrals were: physical abuse, risk, neglect, risk due to sibling abuse, and sexual abuse.

Source: UC Berkeley Center for Social Services

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY

Referrals by Allegation Type, 2006



SF Foster Children by Ethnicity and Primary Language

- At 67%, The in care caseload is predominantly African-American
- By primary language spoken: 93% English, 6% Spanish, 1% other.

American Indian 1% API 8% White 10% Latino 14%

SF Foster Children In Care by Ethnicity

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

SF Foster Children In Care as of July 2007

- 1,836 foster children in care
- 51% male
- 42% Placed within San Francisco County
- Entries and Exits: There were 328 entries into SF foster care during fiscal year 2005-2006. 592 children exited over the same period.

Child Population and In Care Prevalence Rates as of July 1, 2006

	Caseload (0-17)	Child Population (0-17)	Prevalence per 1,000 Children
California	74,676	9,664,747	7.7
Alameda	2,551	358,510	7.1
Contra Costa	1,659	266,692	6.2
Los Angeles	24,316	2,782,878	8.7
Marin	78	50,213	1.6
Napa	115	31,074	3.7
San Francisco	1,802	124,549	14.5
San Mateo	460	167,067	2.8
Santa Clara	2,012	444,899	4.5

Source: UC Berkeley Center for Social Services Research

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

SF Foster Children in Care by Placement Type

Placement Type	Count	%
Relative/NREFM Home	969	52.8%
Foster Family Agency	357	19.4%
Group Home	212	11.5%
Foster Home	159	8.7%
Guardianship	68	3.7%
Court Specified Home	36	2.0%
Small Family Home	34	1.9%
Tribe Specified Home	1	0.1%
Total	1836	100%

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Achieving AB636 Outcomes Through Effective Strategies



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



AB636 GOALS



- Children are protected from abuse and neglect
- Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible
- Children have permanency and stability in their living situations
- The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children
- Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs
- Children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs
- Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs.
- Those youth that must emancipate from foster care without a permanent home will have a life long connection to a trusting adult and are prepared to transition to a self-sufficient adulthood.





 Number of children who are abused and/or neglected



- Number of children who enter foster care
- Percentage of children who are reabused and/or neglected who remain in the home
- Percentage of children abused and/or neglected in foster care
- Percent of timely visits with SW
- Percent who receive a timely response to initial abuse/neglect allegations.

Federal Outcome Performance Standards: How is San Francisco Doing?

Measure: No Recurrence of Maltreatment



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Federal Outcome Performance Standards: How is San Francisco Doing?



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



PERMANENCY AND STABILITY

- Percentage of children who re-enter foster care
- Percentage of children who experience multiple placements in foster care
- Length of time to reunify children with parents or caretakers
- Length of time to achieve adoption





CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Federal Outcome Performance Standards: How is San Francisco Doing?

Measure: Placement Stability (12 to 24 Months in Care)





WELL-BEING OUTCOMES



- Percent of children who are placed with some or all siblings.
- Percent placed in least restrictive setting
- Percent of American Indian children placed with an Indian relative
- Levels of self-sufficiency for youth exiting
 - foster care (Permanency such as reunification,
- adoption, guardianship)
 - Percent receiving health and mental health services
 - Percent of educational progress and school attendance.

Federal Outcome Performance Standards: How is San Francisco Doing?

Measure: Reentry Following Reunification (Exit Cohort)



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



SF Foster Children In Care by Age

• 60% of the foster children currently in care are adolescents age 12 or older

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Family and Children's Services Best Practices

Differential Response
Standardized Assessments
Incarcerated Parents
Trauma
Parenting
Permanency

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Intake & Differential Response



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



DIFFERENTIAL REPONSE

- The purpose of Differential Response is to have a *different* response from the investigative response.
- Do not need to "build a case".
- Relationship with family is in the best interest of the child.
- Prevention of the revolving door of referrals is in the best interest of the child, the SW, and the community.



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



STRUCTURED DECISION MAKING (SDM)

- SDM is an objective and validated assessment tool developed by the Children's Research Center, used in conjunction with SW's skills.
- Through a series of questions the SW and the family can assess safety, risk, strengths, needs, reunification readiness and other areas.
- No tool will ever predict the future.
- SDM ensures that all SW assess families in the same way

INCARCERATED PARENTS

- Reunification Plans with parents who are incarcerated
- Visits with parents in County Jail
- Visits with parents in Prison
- Working with community partners to develop enhanced services to families with family members who are incarcerated.



TRAUMA

- Training Social Workers to identify signs and symptoms of trauma in children and youth
- Training Mental Health Provider in Evidenced Based Practice called Trauma Based Cognitive Therapy to provide treatment.

Parenting



- Training Social Workers, Foster Parents and Parents on Evidenced Based Parenting called "Incredible Years".
- Beginning with Social Workers to encourage mentoring for parents involved in Child Welfare Services



PERMANENCY

Definition of Youth Permanency

Permanency is both a process and a result that includes involvement of the youth as a participant or leader in finding a permanent connection with at least one committed adult who provides:

- A safe, stable and secure parenting relationship
- Love
- Unconditional commitment
- Lifelong support in the context of reunification, a legal adoption, or guardianship, where possible, and in which the youth has the opportunity to maintain contacts with important persons including brothers & sisters
- A broad array of individualized permanency options exist; reunification and adoption are an important two among many that may be appropriate.



EMANCIPATION is not a goal for youth in foster care!



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



California Child Welfare System Data and Statistics

Center for Social Services Research, UC Berkeley

CWS/CMS Dynamic Reporting System

http://cssr.berkeley.edu/

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO





CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

San Francisco

Child Care Programs



HSA Child Care FY 07-08 Budget \$42.6 Million*



*Foster Care Child Care Aid of \$4.2M is included in this slide as part of the overall Child Care Budget.

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Understanding the Child Care Budget

- Small amount of local GF
- Initiatives are largely blended with First Five funds, PFA, and DCYF
- Child Care funding and financing is fragile and interdependent



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

What the current investments have achieved

• Capacity: Increased center capacity for 0-12 by 1228 since 2002.



- Implemented ACCESS, homeless child care program, fully ramping up.
- County subsidized children continues to grow but CalWORKs enrollments continues to decrease.
- Linked various ECE programs to a formal quality assessment process, high numbers of providers have a current assessment.
- Improving facilities, particularly those in the Southeast sector.
- With DCYF funding, expanding WAGES+ participating FCC's and Centers

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Thinking About Child Care Dollars Sharing the Cost of Caring - Access, Affordability and Quality Care

- Subsidies and Services
- State/Federal Funding vs. Local GF
- Building a System That Supports Quality



HSA Child Care FY 07-08 Budget \$42.6 Million*



*Foster Care Child Care Aid of \$4.2M is included in this slide as part of the overall Child Care Budget.

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

HSA Child Care FY 07-08 Budget \$42.6 Million*



*Foster Care Child Care Aid of \$4.2M is included in this slide as part of the overall Child Care Budget.

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

HSA Child Care FY 07-08 Subsidies



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

HSA Child Care FY 07-08 Services



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

HSA General Fund Child Care: Subsidies and Capacity Building Services \$12,007,508



Potential Impact of Cuts in General Fund



\$ 6,294,623 Subsidies <u>\$ 5,712,885</u> Services \$12,007,508 Total GF

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Child Care Budget Considerations



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Child Care Budget Considerations -Subsidies - State/Federal



- Regional Market Rate Ceiling (RMR) implementation (Anticipated 2.5%)
- State budget cut proposals anticipated in January
- Policy changes for Trustline and minimum wage (shift of costs from Stage 2 to Stage 1)
- Opportunities to leverage CalWORKs CC for out of school time programs (SFUSD/Rec and Park)

Child Care Budget Considerations -Subsidies - local GF

- RMR (line up with state changes)
- RMR contemplate implementation of tiered reimbursement?
- Loss of HUD McKinney funding for homeless child care
- Current year one-time savings projected for ACCESS Homeless Child Care
- Current year one-time savings projected for 07-08 COLA funding

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Child Care Budget Considerations -State/Fed Capacity Building

- No recommended changes in funding for
 - Health/MH consultation
 - Inclusion
 - Field Building/Support for License Exempt Providers
 - Facilities Fund Administration
 - Quality Assessment (Environmental Rating Assessment)
 - Mildly III/Emergency Back-Up
 - Facilities Fund Administration
- Areas Considered for Change
 - Add contribution to Sub Pool funding from CalWORKs

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Child Care Budget Considerations -GF Capacity Building

- WAGES+ Minimum Wage projected to exceed WAGES+ floor in Jan. '09
- WAGES+ Integration with overall workforce development and support strategy
- Strategies for streamlining reporting and accountability are not being budgeted
- Shift to Stage 1 subsidies will support capacity building linkages to CalWORKs capacity building funding



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Other Budget Considerations – What we want to focus on for FY 08-09

- Managing Child Care Capacity onsite, planned improvements
- Adequate staffing pattern for HSA CC program
- Four Southeast facilities were long ignored and will continue to require capital investments
- Need to plan now for Section 108 loan periods which are expiring
- Streamlining reporting



State & Local Budget Projections



CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

City Budget Forecast

General Fund Sources and Uses (\$ in millions)

Sources	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10
Net Loss of One-Time Sources	-\$110	-\$14
Revenue Growth	<u>\$119</u>	<u>\$85</u>
Sources Subtotal	\$9	\$71
Uses		
MOU Costs and Annualization of Positior	ns - \$111	-\$86
Health, Dental, Pension & Other Benefits	-\$22	-\$29
Mandated Spending Requirement	-\$44	-\$30
New Mandated MUNI Spending	-\$28	-\$1
Other Operating Costs	- <u>\$34</u>	- <u>\$45</u>
Uses Subtotal	-\$238	-\$191
Shortfall Projection	-\$229	-\$119

Note: Projection does not factor in changes in State and Federal funding. CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

State Budget Forecast State budget shortfall projected at \$14 billion

Services vulnerable to cuts:

Service

- K-12 Education
- Higher Education
- Health
- Social Services
- Criminal Justice
- Transportation

FY 07-08 GF Spending

\$39.5 billion
\$11.9 billion
\$20.3 billion
\$9.4 billion
\$12.9 billion
\$1.5 billion

- The Governor's Office has asked all state departments to prepare spending reduction plans of 10%.
- The Governor plans to declare a "fiscal emergency" in January to fasttrack **mid-year** spending cuts and other budget solutions.

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Mayor's Budget Instructions

In the Next Year

- Reduce General Fund Spending by 5% in ongoing reductions, and 3% in one-time reductions
- Consider Current-Year spending reductions to help balance the budget
- Submit additional "contingency" reductions of 5%
- Consider the cost of doing business for your non-profit contractors
- As in previous years, Look for one-time efficiency investments that result in future savings

In the Current Year

- Freeze non-essential hiring and restrain overtime
- Eliminate 1,679 staff requisitions opened before July 1, 2007
- Work with Mayor's Budget Office on mid-year savings to help address next year's shortfall
- Look for operational efficiencies

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Mayor's Budget Instructions

The Mayor's instructions direct the Agency to cut \$9,513,940 or 13% in General Fund support from the Agency's \$75.5 million discretionary General Fund budget

5% GF Ongoing Reduction	\$3,775,373
3% GF One-Time Reduction	\$2,265,224
5% GF Contingency Reduction	<u>\$3,473,343</u>
Total	\$9,513,940
Projected Revenue Shortfalls	
Prior Year Revenues, Child Welfare	
Services Allocation, and Grants	\$10,000,000
Total Potential Budget Reduction Needed	\$19,513,940

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Agency Strategy & Actions

- Staffing Reorganizations
- Contract Savings
- Project Savings
- Efficiencies
- Maximizing Staff Timestudy Opportunities
- Revenue Leveraging to Partially Offset Revenue Losses

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Budget Timeline of Events

Budget Brown Bag: DHS	Dec. 17 th at 12:00- 2:00		
Budget Brown Bag: DAAS	Dec. 18 th at 11:30 – 1:00		
Community Meeting: Family & Children's Services and Child Care	Dec. 19 th at 4:00 – 6:00		
Community Meeting: DAAS	Dec. 20 th at 2:00 – 4:00		
Community Meeting: Economic Support and Self Sufficiency	Jan. 3 rd at 4:00 – 6:00		
Budget Brown Bag: DAAS	Jan. 10 th at 11:30 – 1:00		
Budget Presented to DHS Commission	Jan. 24 ^{th &} Feb. 13 th at 9:30		
Budget Presented to DAAS Finance Committee	Jan. 22 nd at 9:30		
Budget Presented to DAAS Commission	Feb. 6 th at 9:30		
Final Proposed Budget Submitted to Controller's Office	Feb. 21 st		
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			

Public Comment

Today's Presentation can be found at: http://www.sfgov.org/site/dhs

Please provide additional comments, input, and feedback to Derek Chu at <u>derek.chu@sfgov.org</u>

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO